1	Title: Physical activity, mental health and well-being of adults during initial COVID-19
2	containment strategies: A multi-country cross-sectional analysis
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

1

28 Abstract

Objectives: To assess physical activity (PA), mental health and well-being of adults in the
 UK, Ireland, New Zealand and Australia during the initial stages of National governments'
 Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) containment responses.

32 **Design:** Observational, cross-sectional

Methods: An online survey was disseminated to adults (n = 8,425; 44.5 ± 14.8y) residing in
the UK, Ireland, New Zealand and Australia within the first 2-6 weeks of government-mandated
COVID-19 restrictions. Main outcome measures included: Stages of Change scale for
exercise behaviour change; International Physical Activity Questionnaire (short-form); World
Health Organisation-5 Well-being Index; and the Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale-9.

38 Results: Participants who reported a negative change in exercise behaviour between preinitial COVID-19 restrictions and during initial COVID-19 restrictions demonstrated poorer 39 mental health and well-being compared to those demonstrating either a positive-or no change 40 in their exercise behaviour (p<0.001). Whilst women reported more positive changes in 41 42 exercise behaviour, young people (18-29y) reported more negative changes (both p<0.001). Individuals who had more positive exercise behaviours reported better mental health and well-43 being (p < 0.001). Although there were no differences in PA between countries, individuals in 44 New Zealand reported better mental health and well-being (p < 0.001). 45

46 Conclusion: The initial COVID-19 restrictions have differentially impacted upon PA habits of 47 individuals based upon their age and sex, and therefore have important implications for 48 international policy and guideline recommendations. Public health interventions that 49 encourage PA should target specific groups (e.g., men, young adults) who are most vulnerable 50 to the negative effects of physical distancing and/or self-isolation.

51 Keywords: Coronavirus disease, pandemic, lifestyle behavior change, exercise, depression,
52 sedentary time

53

54 Introduction

At the onset of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, governments in various countries implemented national containment strategies to limit the spread of the virus and reduce the risk of national healthcare systems becoming critically overburdened. Although physical distancing and self-isolation regulations aim to reduce person-to-person transmission of COVID-19, there are potentially significant public health implications from such measures. For example, a reduction in physical activity (PA) and an increase in sedentary behaviours may adversely affect immune function and enhance the risk for chronic health conditions.¹

62

Physical activity is defined as any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that require 63 energy expenditure, whereas exercise is a subcategory of physical activity that is planned, 64 structured and repetitive, and aims to improve or maintain one or more components of physical 65 fitness.² Regular and adequate levels of PA is known for its beneficial effects on the immune 66 67 system and for counteracting many comorbidities, such as obesity, diabetes, and mental health disorders.^{1,3} Under non-pandemic circumstances, modern lifestyle behaviours 68 encourage physical *inactivity* and sedentariness,⁴ but the evidence as to whether this is 69 70 exacerbated by containment strategies during COVID-19 is still emerging. Physical inactivity 71 is a term used to identify people who do not get the recommended level of regular physical activity,² while sedentary behaviour is any waking behaviour characterized by an exergy 72 expenditure \leq 1.5 metabolic equivalents (METs, while in a sitting, reclining or lying position.⁵ 73 74 Many opportunities to be physically active, such as participation in community- or hospitalbased rehabilitation programmes, and use of fitness centres and public parks were prohibited 75 or restricted for people of all ages as a result of the COVID-19 physical distancing and self-76 77 isolation directives. Indeed, recent research has shown a 29% increase in sitting time and more than a 30% decrease in PA during the initial stages of COVID-19 home confinement.⁶⁻⁹ 78 Furthermore, early COVID-19 reports from the United States of America (USA) suggested that 79 3 This is an accepted manuscript of an article published by Elsevier in Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport,

available online at <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2020.11.016</u>. It is not the copy of record. Copyright © 2020, Elsevier.

individuals who did not meet recommended PA guidelines and engaged in greater screen time presented with higher depressive symptoms and stress than those who were more physically active.⁹ Due to the problematic psychological effects of containment and public health restrictions, engaging in regular PA throughout the duration of a pandemic may positively impact mental health and wellbeing.

85

86 Although containment strategies may have introduced new barriers to being physically active 87 for some, a change in work and social patterns may have facilitated additional opportunities 88 to engage in PA for others. For example, an increase in available time (e.g., reduced commute time) and access to various online platforms remotely delivering exercise classes (e.g., 89 90 yoga/Pilates, high intensity interval training [HIIT]), may have provided individuals with opportunities to maintain or increase their PA during early COVID-19 restrictions. Indeed, 91 92 despite strict government regulations in some countries, 'daily exercise' was one of the few reasons people could leave their homes and this may have been an incentive for some people 93 to increase their PA. 94

95

While the types of COVID-19 restrictions implemented have been broadly similar globally, the 96 97 timing and enforcement of these have differed considerably across countries. Differing 98 government approaches likely contributed to the differences in COVID-19 infection and death rates, and may also have impacted on the behavioural, physical and mental health of 99 100 individuals, in various countries. For example, a descriptive study with over 455,000 smartphone and app users from over 180 countries demonstrated regional differences in step 101 102 counts within the first 30 days of the global declaration of the pandemic, likely reflecting the regional variation in COVID-19 timing, regional enforcement and behaviour change.⁸ 103 Accordingly, the purpose of this study was to assess PA, mental health and well-being during 104 initial COVID-19 restrictions between the UK, Ireland [IRE], New Zealand [NZ], and Australia 105 106 [AUS] populations. It was hypothesised that individuals who were physically active during 107 COVID-19 restrictions would demonstrate better mental health and well-being than those who108 were not.

109 Methods

110 This study was designed to collect cross-sectional data using online surveys during the initial government-mandated COVID-19 containment strategies (April/May, 2020). The overall 111 programme of research, which also includes longitudinal components, received institutional 112 ethical approval from University's leading the study in the UK, IRE, NZ and AUS. Research 113 was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. This study adhered to 114 Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) 115 guidelines.¹⁰ Study funders had no influence over data collection, analysis and/or 116 interpretation, or in article preparation. 117

118

Sampling commenced between 10 days and 6 weeks of initial government-mandated COVID-19 restrictions (Table S1). Convenience sampling using mass emailing via collaborating author networks, social media and mass media engagement (radio, newspapers), and snowball sampling, were used for recruitment. English speaking adults (≥18 y) who were residing in the surveyed countries were eligible to participate. All participants provided informed consent.

125

The survey was administered using JISC (Bristol, UK) or Qualtrics (London, UK). Participants 126 self-reported demographic information and completed questionnaires relating to PA (the 127 128 International Physical Activity Questionnaire: Short Form [IPAQ-SF]),¹¹ exercise behaviour change (Stages of Change scale),¹² mental health (Depression Anxiety and Stress Scale-9 129 [DASS-9]),¹³ and well-being (World Health Organisation-5 Well-being Index [WHO-5]),¹⁴ and 130 described their weekly PA (i.e., type of PA) using free-text responses. All measures were 131 132 assessed during the initial COVID-19 restrictions with the exception of the Stages of Change scale and PA free-text responses, which also captured pre-COVID-19 restriction information. 133 5

This is an accepted manuscript of an article published by Elsevier in Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, available online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2020.11.016. It is not the copy of record. Copyright © 2020, Elsevier.

In addition, participants reported whether they met recommended guidelines for daily PA
 (≥150 minutes of moderate- to vigorous intensity PA each week) before the COVID-19
 restrictions were imposed.¹⁵

137

138 Participants self-reported their exercise behaviour before and during initial COVID-19 139 restrictions based on one of the following statements from the Stages of Change scale: i) I currently do not exercise and do not intend to start in the next 6 months; ii) I currently do not 140 141 exercise but I am thinking about starting in the next 6 months; iii) I currently exercise a little 142 but not regularly; iv) I currently exercise regularly but have begun doing so in the last 6 months; or v) I currently exercise regularly and have done so for more than 6 months. These statements 143 correspond with the Pre-contemplation, Contemplation, Preparation, Action, and Maintenance 144 Stages of Change of the Transtheoretical Model of Behaviour Change, respectively. Changes 145 146 in exercise intentions and behaviours were reported as no change, positive change (increased rating from pre- to during COVID-19 restrictions), or negative change (decreased rating from 147 pre- to during COVID-19 restrictions). 148

149

The IPAQ-SF allows individuals to recall the previous week's PA (days per week, total minutes per day), with regards to walking, and moderate- and vigorous-intensity activities, and average daily sitting time. The IPAQ-SF is a valid (r = 0.67) and reliable tool (rho = 0.77-1.00)¹⁶ that is acceptable for assessing PA in large populations across various age groups (e.g., 18-70 y).¹¹ For the IPAQ-SF, results were reported as a continuous variable (MET·min⁻¹·week⁻¹) and in categories (low-, moderate- or high-PA levels).¹⁷

156

This study used the DASS-9, an empirically derived version based on the DASS-21.¹³ The
DASS-9 consists of three subscales (depression, anxiety and stress) with three items each.
Each item is scored on a scale from 0 (none of the time) to 3 (most of the time). The three

subscales of the DASS-9 were each cumulatively scored between 0 and 9, with higher scoresdemonstrating poorer mental health.

162

The WHO-5 is a short global rating scale that measures subjective well-being.¹⁴ The WHO-5 includes the following items: i) 'I have felt cheerful and in good spirits', ii) 'I have felt calm and relaxed', iii) 'I have felt active and vigorous', iv) 'I woke up feeling fresh and rested' and v) 'My daily life has been filled with things that interest me'. Each of the five items were scored from 0 to 5. The total raw score was translated into a percentage ranging from 0 (absence of wellbeing) to 100 (maximal well-being).

169

Free-text PA was thematically-coded by collaborating authors based upon the Compendium of Physical Activity,¹⁷ accounting for the type of activity in which participants engaged. Data was aggregated into 13 higher level activity groupings (Table S2). "Online" activity was categorised, and included non-face-to-face activities (YouTube videos, Zoom, etc.). Coding was checked by JF and W'OB.

175

176 Statistical analysis was primarily descriptive, with proportions reported for binary and 177 categorical variables and means and standard deviations or medians and interguartile ranges reported for continuous variables. Data was checked for the assumptions of normality and 178 homoscedasticity. For the IPAQ-SF classification, between group differences were explored 179 using chi-squared tests. To explore changes in PA levels, multinomial logit models were used. 180 For WHO-5, DASS-9 and IPAQ-SF, multivariable linear regression obtained the independent 181 effect of each characteristic on the outcome. For multinomial logit models and multivariable 182 linear regression, age, gender and ethnicity were included as covariates to control for their 183 184 independent effects. Spearman's correlation coefficient (rho) was used to quantify the 185 association between PA with mental health and well-being. Statistical analysis was completed 186 on Stata (version 16).

187

188

189 **Results**

Of the 8,425 participants recruited (44.5 \pm 14.8 y; 70.7% female; 93.8% white; see Table S3), 3,121 were residing in the UK, 4,007 in NZ, 903 in IRE and 394 in AUS (Figure S1). Only

192 individuals who completed all survey items were included in the statistical analysis.

193

194 Fewer females met the recommended PA guidelines before initial COVID-19 restrictions 195 compared to males (p < 0.001; 73% vs 81%, respectively; Table 1). During initial COVID-19 restrictions, there were no differences in PA between countries (p > 0.05; Table 1), although 196 females engaged in less high-intensity PA than males (p < 0.001; 36% vs 41%, respectively), 197 irrespective of country. Sitting time was lower for IRE compared to all other countries (p < p198 199 0.001), with no differences between the UK, NZ and AUS (p > 0.05). Depression, anxiety and stress were lower in NZ compared to UK, AUS and IRE (p < 0.001), whereas IRE reported 200 higher scores than all other countries (p < 0.001; Table 1). Well-being was higher in NZ and 201 AUS than the UK (p < 0.001), but there was no significant difference between the UK and IRE 202 203 (p > 0.05; Table 1).

204

Using combined data from all four countries, Spearman's correlation coefficient (rho[95%CI]) 205 demonstrated moderate positive correlations between PA and WHO-5 scores (rho = 0.35 206 207 [0.33, 0.37]; p < 0.001) and negative correlations between PA and depression (*rho* = -0.24 [-0.26, -0.22]; p < 0.001), anxiety (*rho* = -0.13 [-0.15, -0.11]; p < 0.001) and stress (*rho* = -0.13 [-0.15, -0.11]; p < 0.001) 208 0.14,-0.10] p < 0.001) during the initial COVID-19 restrictions. Longer sitting times were 209 negatively correlated with the WHO-5 (*rho* = -0.20 [-0.22,-0.18]; p < 0.001), but positively 210 211 correlated with depression (rho = 0.18 [0.16,0.20]), anxiety (rho = 0.08 [0.05,0.10]) and stress 212 (rho = 0.08 [0.06, 0.10]) (all p < 0.001).

213

214 The UK and AUS reported the greatest negative change in exercise behaviour (21.3% & 215 22.6% respectively; p < 0.001; Table S4). NZ demonstrated the least change in exercise 216 behaviour during early COVID-19 restrictions (12.6%). Females reported more positive 217 changes in their exercise behaviour compared to males (16.4% vs. 12.1%, respectively; $p < 10^{-1}$ 218 0.001; Table S5), while younger people (18-29 y) reported more negative changes (26.1%) 219 than all other age groups (between 11.1% and 19.1%; p < 0.001; Table S5). Individuals with 220 self-reported comorbidity were more likely to change their exercise behaviour than those 221 without (p < 0.001), with a similar percentage reporting a positive (17.8%) or negative (17.3%) 222 change in exercise behaviour. When adjusted for age, gender, and ethnicity, individuals who demonstrated a negative change in exercise behaviour had significantly higher DASS-9 223 scores and significantly lower WHO-5 scores compared to those who had either a positive 224 change- or no change in their exercise behaviour (all p < 0.001; Table 2). Individuals who did 225 226 not meet recommended guidelines for daily PA before COVID-19 restrictions were more likely 227 to exhibit a positive change (74.1%) in their exercise behaviour during initial COVID-19 restrictions (Table S6). 228

229

The type of PA participants engaged in before and during initial COVID-19 restrictions arepresented in Figure 1 and Tables S7 and S8.

232

233 Discussion

This study demonstrated that individuals who had a negative change in their exercise behaviour between before and during initial COVID-19 restrictions reported poorer mental health and well-being; a relationship that was evident across all countries investigated. Whilst females reported more positive changes in exercise behaviour compared to males, younger adults reported more negative changes in exercise behaviour compared to all other age groups. Between countries, there were no differences in the amount of PA people engaged in during COVID-19 restrictions, however, there were differences in mental health and wellbeing, with those in NZ reporting better outcomes than those in the UK, IRE or AUS. These
findings have important implications for policy and guideline recommendations to encourage
people to be physically active, and thus promote better mental health and well-being,
throughout the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic and the subsequent recovery period.

245

A potential implication of physical distancing is that poor lifestyle behaviours may be 246 intensified, including decreases in PA and increases in sedentary behaviours.³ A large 247 248 descriptive study with nearly 500,000 participants has demonstrated a 5.5% and 27.3% 249 decrease in mean steps within 10 and 30 days, respectively, of the start of the COVID19 pandemic.⁸ In our study, the government containment strategies did allow for individuals to 250 engage in differing daily PA and/or exercise, which afforded the opportunity for people to meet 251 the recommended PA guidelines of 150 minutes of moderate to vigorous intensity PA each 252 253 week. It is interesting to report that 74% of the study sample that exhibited a positive change in exercise behaviour were individuals who did not meet recommended PA guidelines before 254 COVID-19 (Table S6). This suggests that during national containment responses to COVID-255 19, there are opportunities for individuals who do not normally partake in PA to instigate 256 257 important changes in their behaviour to engage in exercise, which in-turn, could lead to longterm health benefits. Furthermore, in this study, individuals (83% of sample) who reported no 258 change or a positive change in exercise behaviour from pre- to during COVID-19 restrictions 259 reported better mental health (lower DASS-9 scores) compared to individuals who reported a 260 negative change in their exercise behaviour. Similarly, individuals who reported a negative 261 exercise behaviour change exhibited a substantially lower WHO-5 score compared to people 262 who reported no changes (95%CI: 15.0 to 17.3 points lower) or a positive change (95%CI: 263 12.9 to 15.8 points lower) in exercise behaviour. As the threshold for a clinically relevant 264 change on the WHO-5 is 10 points,¹⁸ these findings further substantiate the beneficial effects 265 of PA on mental health and well-being. 266

267

268 During the initial COVID-19 restrictions, females engaged in less high-intensity PA (e.g., 269 running, cycling, resistance exercises) than males, but more low-intensity activity (walking, 270 yoga/Pilates; Table S6). More positive changes in exercise behaviour were also shown for 271 females compared to males. In females, the largest increases were found for online exercise 272 classes (0.4% vs. 21.2%, respectively) and online yoga/Pilates classes (0.1% vs. 8.2%, 273 respectively). In contrast, for males, online exercise classes increased from 0.1% to 6.5%, and 274 from 0% to 1.5% for online yoga/Pilates classes (for pre- and during COVID-19 restrictions, 275 respectively). Self-efficacy, social support, and motivation are empirically substantiated factors that impact on PA levels among women more than men.¹⁹ Little is known, however, about the 276 impact of this pandemic on these factors or even whether such influential factors are altered 277 during a pandemic. Our longitudinal design will provide data to help explore the barriers, 278 279 facilitators and adherence to PA for both females and males, as the COVID-19 pandemic 280 continues across the globe

281

In line with our findings, a study with 1,854 young adult workers (21-40 y) in Singapore 282 reported a 42% reduction in PA within 6 weeks of the global declaration of the COVID-19 283 284 pandemic.⁷ Furthermore, the least active group of their study sample comprised of younger and predominantly single individuals. In our study, individuals aged 18-29 years reported the 285 largest negative change (26.1%) in exercise behaviour between before and during initial 286 COVID-19 restrictions for all age groups assessed. Previous research has shown that 287 288 individuals aged 16-34 years typically engage in more aerobic, strength, and sporting activities than people of an older age.²⁰ In the current study, 18-29 year-olds engaged in less resistance-289 290 based exercise (35.2% vs. 19.4% for pre- and during COVID-19 restrictions, respectively) and 291 sporting activities (23.8% vs. 3.6% for pre- and during COVID-19 restrictions, respectively), 292 most likely due to the closure of gyms/fitness centres and the cancellation of all structured team and individual sporting activities (Figure 1; Table S8). As re-commencing a previously 293 broken PA habit can be challenging, in accordance with the 'relapse' stage of the 294

295 Transtheoretical Model of Behaviour Change, the changes observed in this study when 296 extrapolated to the general population could indeed be detrimental to long-term public health.

297

298 In our study, 17.8% of individuals with a self-reported chronic condition reported a positive change in their exercise behaviour between pre- and during early COVID-19 restrictions. 299 300 Increases in PA may help mitigate the effects of COVID-19 on this subgroup of 'higher risk' individuals by boosting immune function, which is vital to control and eliminate COVID-19,²¹ 301 and counteract prevalent comorbidities such as obesity, diabetes, hypertension and vascular 302 conditions.^{3,4} However, 17.3% of individuals with a self-reported chronic condition reported a 303 304 negative change in their exercise behaviour. Indeed, a negative change may promote the development and/or progression of many chronic diseases, which may contribute to potentially 305 poorer outcomes in those who contract COVID-19.² Accordingly, individuals with comorbidity 306 307 are an important group to consider when designing and delivering guideline recommendations 308 to encourage PA during periods of physical distancing and self-isolation.

309

The World Health Organisation reports a higher prevalence of depressive and anxiety 310 disorders in NZ (5.4%, 7.3%, respectively) and AUS (5.9%, 7.0%, respectively), compared to 311 the UK (4.5%, 4.2%, respectively) or IRE (4.8%, 6.3%, respectively).²² In the present study, 312 however, the NZ population demonstrated better mental health and well-being during COVID-313 19 restrictions than all other countries surveyed. In our study, a greater proportion of the NZ 314 study population maintained their pre-COVID-19 exercise behaviour (72.2%) compared to the 315 316 UK, IRE or AUS (63.7%, 65.3% and 64.2%, respectively). Furthermore, NZ demonstrated 317 statistically fewer negative changes in exercise behaviour (12.6%) compared to the other countries surveyed (UK: 21.3%; IRE: 17.7%; AUS: 22.6%). It is widely accepted that PA is 318 associated with a reduced risk of depression and anxiety.^{23,24} A recent study in the USA 319 demonstrated that reduced PA and increased screen time during the early COVID-19 320 restriction period were associated with poorer mental health outcomes.⁹ Similarly, our 321 12

This is an accepted manuscript of an article published by Elsevier in Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, available online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2020.11.016. It is not the copy of record. Copyright © 2020, Elsevier.

correlational findings demonstrated that longer sitting times were associated with poorer 322 323 mental health and well-being. IRE reported the lowest daily sitting time but comparable PA 324 levels to other countries, suggesting that participants in IRE may have been undertaking greater incidental PA. Despite incidental PA being suggested to have numerous practical and 325 326 physiological health benefits,²⁵ as well as potential to improve mood and well-being,²⁶ in this study, IRE reported statistically poorer mental health compared to the other countries 327 surveyed. It is plausible that the COVID-19 pandemic adds additional complexity to such a 328 329 relationship, and further research into incidental PA and mental health is warranted.

330

The study findings should be contextualised in light of methodological limitations and 331 strengths. The predominant ethnicity and sex of the respondents were white females which 332 may not reflect the total population of the countries surveyed. Further investigation of the 333 334 relationship between PA and mental health should consider that racial and/or ethnic disparities may impact the burden of COVID-19 related outcomes.²⁷ Furthermore, 75% of participants 335 reported meeting PA guidelines of engaging in ≥150 minutes of moderate- to vigorous intensity 336 PA each week, which is higher than the population average of the countries surveyed.^{28,291} 337 338 Finally, our study did not capture the public health restrictions participants were following at the time the survey was completed (i.e., quarantine, physical distancing, social isolation). This 339 340 would be a worthwhile line of investigation, particularly for older adults and extremely clinical vulnerable individuals, due to the variance in public health approaches nationally and globally. 341 342 Strengths of this study include the sample size and the speed with which the surveys were implemented within all four countries. This ensured that the population response to the 343 respective government-mandated containment strategies was captured at similar levels of 344 345 restriction across all countries, and facilitated our planned longitudinal study design.

346

In countries where physical distancing (e.g., working from home) is likely to feature to a greater
or lesser extent in the short- to medium-term, the potential impact of PA and changes in

exercise behaviour on mental health and well-being is significant. Marginalizing PA during these uncertain times could have paramount negative implications for public health and thus attention must be paid to help promote and support people to engage in PA. Differing health promotion strategies may be required to facilitate engagement from specific groups (e.g., males, younger adults, individuals with comorbidity). These findings have important implications for policy and guideline recommendations and may assist in refining government strategies concerning physical distancing and self-isolation.

356 Conclusion

357 During early COVID-19 restrictions, a negative change in exercise behaviour compared to pre-COVID-19 restrictions was associated with poorer mental health and wellbeing. Whilst 358 females reported more positive changes in exercise behaviour, young people (18-29 y) 359 reported more negative changes. PA was comparable between the UK, NZ, IRE and AUS, 360 361 however, people in NZ reported better mental health and well-being. Our findings will assist in the development of targeted interventions to encourage greater PA participation while 362 individuals continue to physical distance, self-isolate, or 'work from home' for extended 363 periods. Due to the uncertainty surrounding the long-term effects of the COVID-19 pandemic, 364 365 longitudinal studies are needed to explore the relationships between PA and mental health 366 and well-being.

367

368 **Practical implications**

- During the COVID-19 pandemic and recovery period, physical activity should be
 encouraged to promote better mental health and well-being.
- These findings have important implications for policy and guideline recommendations,
 particularly for males, younger adults and individuals with co-morbidities.
- Our findings will assist in the development of targeted interventions to encourage greater PA participation while individuals continue to physical distance, self-isolate, or 'work from home' for extended periods.

376

377 **References**

1.Sallis JF, Adlakha D, Oyeyemi A et al. An international physical activity and public health
research agenda to inform coronavirus disease-19 policies and practices. *J Sport Health Sci*2020;9:328–334.

World Health Organisation. Physical Activity. Available from: <u>https://www.who.int/health-</u>
 topics/physical-activity#tab=tab_1 [Accessed 24th October 2020].

383 3. Woods J, Hutchinson NT, Powers SK et al. The COVID-19 Pandemic and physical activity.
384 Sport Med Health Sci 2020;2:55-64.

4. Narici M, De Vito G, Franchi M et al. Impact of sedentarism due to the COVID-19 home confinement on neuromuscular, cardiovascular and metabolic health: Physiological and pathophysiological implications and recommendations for physical and nutritional countermeasures. *Eur J Sport Sci* 2020; 12:1-22.

Tremblay MS, Aubert S, Barnes JD et al. SBRN Terminology Consensus Project
 Participants. Sedentary Behavior Research Network (SBRN) - Terminology Consensus
 Project process and outcome. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act.* 2017;14:75.

392 6. Ammar A, Brach M, Trabelsi K, et al. Effects of COVID-19 Home Confinement on Eating

393 Behaviour and Physical Activity: Results of the ECLB-COVID19 International Online

394 Survey. *Nutrients* 2020;12:1583.

395 7. Ong JL, Lau T, Massar SAA, Chong ZT, Ng BKL, Koek D, Zhao W, Yeo BT, Cheong K,

396 Chee MWL, COVID-19-related mobility reduction: heterogenous effects on sleep and physical

397 activity rhythms. Sleep, zsaa179. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsaa179</u>

398 [Accessed 24th October 2020]

8. Tison GH, Avram R, Kuhar P, Marcus GM, Pletcher MJ, Olgin JE. Worldwide Effect of
COVID-19 on Physical Activity: A Descriptive Study. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 2020
Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-2665</u> [Accessed 24th October 2020]

9. Meyer J, McDowell C, Lansing J et al. Changes in physical activity and sedentary behaviour
due to the COVID-19 outbreak and associations with mental health in 3,052 US adults. Int J
Environ Res Public Health 2020;17:6469.

10. von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational

406 Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies.

407 *The Lancet* 2007;370:1453-1457.

408 11. The International Physical Activity Questionnaire. Guidelines for Data Processing and
409 Analysis of the International Physical Activity (IPAQ) [Internet]. 2005. Available from:
410 <u>http://www.ipaq.ki.se</u>

411 12. Beiner L, Abrams DB. The contemplation ladder: Validation of a measure of readiness to
412 consider smoking cessation. *Health Psychol* 1991;10:360-365.

413 13. Youssof MSB. Psychometric Properties of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scale in a
414 Sample of Medical Degree Applicants. *Int Med J* 2013;20:295-300.

14. Topp CW, Østergaard SD, Søndergaard S et al. The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: A
Systematic Review of the Literature. *Psychother Psychosom* 2015;84:167-176. DOI:
10.1159/000376585.

418 15. Piercy KL, Troiano RP, Ballard RM et al. The physical activity guidelines for Americans.
419 *JAMA* 2018;320:2020-2028.

- 420 16. Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjostrom M, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-
- 421 country reliability and validity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;35:1381-1395.
- 422 17. Ainsworth BE, Haskell WL, Herrmann SD et al. Compendium of physical activities: a
- second update of codes and MET values. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2011;43:1575-1581.

18. Bech P, Lunde M, Bech-Andersen G et al. Psychiatric outcome studies (POS): does
treatment help the patients? A Popperian approach to research in clinical psychiatry. *Nord J Psychiatry* 2007;61:4-34.

427 19. Edwards ES, Sackett SC. Psychosocial Variables Related to Why Women are Less Active
428 than Men and Related Health Implications. Clinical Medicine Insights: *Women's Health*429 2016;S1:47–56.

20. NHS Digital. Health Survey for England 2016 physical activity in adults. Available from:
http://healthsurvey.hscic.gov.uk/media/63730/HSE16-Adult-phy-act.pdf [Accessed1st July
2020].

433 21. Nieman DC. Coronavirus disease-2019: A tocsin to our aging, unfit, corpulent, and
434 immunodeficient society. *J Sport Health Sci* 2020;9:293-301.

435 22. World Health Organisation. Depression and other common mental disorders: Global

436 Health estimates. Geneva: World Health Organisation 2017. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0

437 IGO. Available From:

https://www.who.int/mental_health/management/depression/prevalence_global_health_esti
mates/en/ [Accessed 20th June 2020]

440 23. Schuch FB, Vancampfort D, Firth J, Rosenbaum S, Ward PB, Silva ES, Hallgren M,

441 Ponce De Leon A, Dunn AL, Deslandes AC, Fleck MP. Physical activity and incident

442 depression: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Am J Psychiatry* 2018;175:631443 48.

444 24. McDowell CP, Dishman RK, Gordon BR, Herring MP. Physical activity and anxiety: a

systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Am J Prev Med*

446 2019;57:545-56.

25. Stamatakis E, Johnson NA, Powell L et al. Short and sporadic bouts in the 2018 US

448 physical activity guidelines: is high intensity incidental physical activity the new HIIT? Br J

449 Sports Med 2019;53:1137-1139.

450	26. Teychenne M, White RL, Richards J et al. Do we need physical activity guidelines for
451	mental health: What does the evidence tell us? Ment Health Phys 2020;18:100315.
452	27. Hooper MW, Nápoles AM, Pérez-Stable EJ. COVID-19 and racial / ethnic disparities.
453	JAMA 2020;323:2466-2467.
454	28. Ministry of Health. Annual Data Explorer 2018/19: New Zealand health survey [Data
455	File]. Available from: https://minhealthnz.shinyapps.io/nz-health-survey-2018-19-annual-
456	data-explorer/ [Accessed 1 st July 2020].
457	29. NHS Digital (Great Britain). Statistics on obesity, physical activity and diet, England, 2019:
458	Main report. Dandy Booksellers Limited. Available from: https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-
459	information/publications/statistical/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet/statistics-
460	on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet-england-2019 [Accessed 1st July 2020].
461	
462	
463	
464	
465	
466	
467	
468	
469	
470	
471	
472	

This is an accepted manuscript of an article published by Elsevier in Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, available online at <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2020.11.016</u>. It is not the copy of record. Copyright © 2020, Elsevier.

18

473	
474	
475	
476	
477	
478	
479	Figure Legend
480	Figure 1: Number of people taking part in activities before (pre) and during initial COVID-19
481	restrictions: 1a) Aerobic activities, 1b) Conditioning activities, 1c) Online activities, 1d) Home
482	activities, 1e) Sporting activities, and 1f) Other activities
	*Significant difference between pre- and during COVID-19 restrictions ($p < 0.001$)
483	Significant difference between pie- and during $COVID$ - is restrictions ($p < 0.001$)
483 484	Significant difference between pre- and during COVID-19 restrictions (p < 0.001)
	Significant difference between pre- and during COVID-19 restrictions (p < 0.001)

		UK	NZ	IRE	AUS	Total
re-COVID-19 restrictions	Met PA guidelines n (%)					
	Males and females	2,289 (73.3%)	3,134 (78.2%)	630 (69.7%)	294 (74.4%)	6,344 (75.3%)
	Males only	816 (79.7%)	901 (82.9%)	182 (78.4%)	72 (80.9%)	1,971 (81%)
	Females only	1,470 (70.2%)	2,208 (76.5%)	446 (66.7%)	221 (72.7%)	4,345 (73%)*
	Prefer not to say	3 (100%)	24 (70.6%)	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	28 (68%)
	Stages of Change n (%)					
	1. Precontemplation	73 (2.3%)	42 (1.1%)	13 (1.4%)	11 (2.8%)	139 (1.7%)
	2. Contemplation	144 (4.6%)	95 (2.4%)	42 (4.7%)	12 (3.1%)	293 (3.5%)
	3. Preparation	519 (16.6%)	735 (18.3%)	187 (20.7%)	59 (15.0%)	1,500 (17.8%)
	4. Action	339 (10.9%)	274 (6.8%)	64 (7.1%)	28 (7.1%)	705 (8.4%)
	5. Maintenance	2046 (65.6%)	2861 (71.4%)	597 (66.1%)	284 (72.1%)	5,788 (68.7%)
Ouring COVID-19 restrictions	Stages of Change n (%)					
	1. Precontemplation	57 (1.8%)	31 (0.8%)	14 (1.6%)	1 (0.3%)	103 (1.2%)
	2. Contemplation	195 (6.3%)	131 (3.3%)	45 (5.0%)	36 (9.1%)	407 (4.8%)
	3. Preparation	614 (19.7%)	572 (14.3%)	172 (19.1%)	74 (18.8%)	1,432 (17.0%)
	4. Action	533 (17.7%)	699 (17.4%)	176 (19.5%)	54 (13.7%)	1,482 (17.6%)
	5. Maintenance	1,702 (54.5%)	2,574 (64.2%)	496 (54.9%)	229 (58.1%)	5,001 (59.4%)
	IPAQ-SF					
	Total PA (MET·min ⁻¹ ·week ⁻¹)	2,999 (2413)	2,971 (2320)	2,877 (2351)	3,211 (2644)	2,983 (2374)
	Sitting time (min)	452 (220)	450 (171)	411 (177)*	437 (167)	446 (192)
	IPAQ-SF Classifications n (%)					
	Low	283 (9.1%)	305 (7.6%)	80 (8.9%)	39 (9.9%)	707 (8.4%)
	Moderate	1,649 (52.8%)	2,181 (54.4%)	497 (55.0%)	194 (49.1%)	4,521 (53.7%)
	High	1,189 (38.1%)	1,521 (38.0%)	326 (36.1%)	162 (41.0%)	3,198 (37.9%)
	WHO-5	-				-
	WHO-5 score	52.08 (21.77)	57.78 (20.76) ⁺	53.06 (20.56)	54.41 (20.77)+	55.00 (21.28)
	DASS					
	Depression	2.63 (2.23)	2.05 (1.85)*	2.89 (2.26)**	2.42 (1.98)	2.37 (2.07)
	Anxiety	0.95 (1.62)	0.56 (1.16)*	1.13 (1.77)**	0.88 (1.40)	0.78 (1.44)

Table 1: Pre- and during COVID-19 restrictions for physical activity instruments, WHO-5 and DASS

Stress	2.58 (2.10)	1.95 (1.71)*	2.79 (2.12)**	2.62 (2.01)	2.31 (1.95)

Significant difference between sex (*p* < 0001); *Significantly lower than all other countries (*p* < 0.001) **Significantly higher than all other countries (*p* < 0001); * Significantly higher than the UK (*p* < 0001)

Table 2: Mean (SD) WHO-5 and DASS-9 scores for positive, negative and no change in exercise behaviours. Mean difference (± 95% CI) reported when comparing no change and positive exercise behaviour with negative change in exercise behaviour

	Negative change	No change		Positive change	
	x (SD)	x (SD)	Mean difference compared to negative change (95% Cl)	x (SD)	Mean difference compared negative change (95% CI)
WHO-5 score	40.52 (19.97)*	58.48 (20.45)	16.2 (15.0, 17.3)	55.53 (19.54)	14.3 (12.9, 15.8)
DASS-9					
Depression	3.65 (2.39)**	2.09 (1.89)	-1.3 (-1.5, -1.2)	2.22 (1.94)	-1.3 (-1.5, -1.2)
Anxiety	1.24 (1.85)**	0.65 (1.30)	-0.5 (-0.5, -0.4)	0.84 (1.44)	-0.3 (-0.4, -0.2)
Stress	3.03 (2.21)**	2.13 (1.85)	-0.7 (-0.8, -0.5)	2.26 (1.92)	-0.7 (-0.8, -0.5)

*Significantly lower than either positive or no change in exercise behaviour (p < 0.001) **Significantly higher than positive or no change in exercise behaviour (p < 0001)