Revista Española de Geriatría y Gerontología Title: Two factors that can increase the length of hospital stay of patients with dementia. Título: Dos factores que pueden aumentar la duración de la estancia hospitalaria de los pacientes con demencia.

--Borrador del manuscrito--

Número del manuscrito:	REGG-D-22-00028R1		
Tipo de artículo:	Original		
Palabras clave:	Spanish: Demencia, Hospital, Duración de la estancia, Caídas, Alta. English: Dementia; HOSPITAL; Length of stay; Falls; Discharge		
Autor correspondiente:	Mary Duah-Owusu White		
	UNITED KINGDOM		
Primer autor:	Mary Duah-Owusu White, BSc, MPhil, PhD		
Orden de autores:	Mary Duah-Owusu White, BSc, MPhil, PhD		
	Fiona Kelly, RGN, RM, BSc, MSc, PhD		
	Michael Vassallo, MD, DGM, M Phil, FRCP (Lond), FRCP (Edin), PhD, F		
	Samuel Nyman, BSc (Hons),MSc, PhD, MSc		
Resumen:	 Samuel Nyman, BSc (Hons),MSc, PhD, MSc Resumen Objetivos Los pacientes con demencia tienen mayor riesgo de una estancia hospitalaria prolongada y esto se asocia con resultados adversos. El objetivo de esta evaluación del fue identificar las variables predictivas de una mayor duración de la estancia hospitalaria de los pacientes con demencia. Métodos/Diseño Realizamos un análisis retrospectivo de un conjunto de datos hospitalarios transversales en el período enero-diciembre de 2016. Excluyendo la estancia hospitalaria inferior a 24 horas y los reingresos, la muestra estaba compuesta por 1133 pacientes que tenían un diagnóstico de demencia registrado. Resultados Los pacientes con demencia con estancia más prolongada presentaban mayor tasa de incidencia de: a) alta a una residencia (TIR: 2.443, IC 95% 1.778-3.357) b) caídas sin daño (TIR: 2.486, IC 95% 2.029-3.045) Conclusiones En base a estos resultados, concluimos que las mejoras realizadas en las estrategias de prevención de caídas en los hospitales y los procedimientos de planificación del 		
Revisores sugeridos:	Stephen Allen Consultant Physician sallen@bournemouth.ac.uk Specialty-Geriatric medicine		

Revisores a los que se opone:	
Respuesta a los revisores:	Dear Editor, Thank you for giving us another opportunity to submit a revised version of our manuscript. We would like to thank the reviewer for his/her comprehensive comments. We have considered and addressed these various comments and hope that our revised paper will now be suitable for publication. Many thanks, Authors

Comments from reviewer 1Revisions made to the paper The only suggestion I make is about the discussion. You mention that delirium, in patients with dementia, could be an adverse outcome in relation to length of stay, but you don't discuss if you have any data about the incidence of delirium in this sample. Maybe you could say that there is no registration of this condition in your dataset and suggest that it can influence length of stay. You could say in the discussion that you should study in the future if other strategies such as prevention of delirium should play a role, as well as prevention of falls or discharge planning procedures.Please see page 11, line 13-16. Also, data regarding the occurrence of delirium was not readily available in the dataset. In future studies, other strategies such as prevention of delirium should be investigated to ascertain whether they play a role in the length of stay of patients with dementia, as well as prevention of falls or discharge planning procedures. Comments from reviewer 2Revisions made to the paper I would suggest that you put them in this order: infections, malnutrition, functional impairment/falls/pressure ulcers (both related to functional impairment), cognitive impairment and delirium, drug-related problems (adverse drug reactions, medication errors), impact on the management of resources (occupancy of beds) and economic costs. It would include medium-term consequences (functional and cognitive deterioration, institutionalization and death)I have changed the order as suggested. Please see page 4 line 6-18. A likely consequence of this increased length of stay is the possibility of acquiring nosocomial infections [5] which may require the use of antibiotics and subsequently result in the development of antimicrobial resistance [6]. This situation can further increase patient length of stay. In addition to having extended hospital stays, researchers have reported that people with dementia or cognitive impairment experience adverse outcomes in hospitals [7-10]. Some of the adverse outcomes include malnourishment [8], the occurrence of delirium [7], complications after surgical procedures [9] and the development of pressure sores [10]. Patients who stay in hospital for a longer period of time are also likely to experience functional decline [11]. Other researchers have suggested that longer hospital stays can make patients vulnerable to harmful medication reactions [12]. Extended hospital stays also reduces the availability of beds for those requiring urgent admission [5] at an increased cost to the National Health Service (NHS) [13]. It has the limitation that it is a retrospective study, and the data collected is what it is. But it seems to me that you get excellent performance from the data. CongratulationsPlease see page 11 line 11-12. Staff documentation of variables such as falls and MUST scores can be prone to errors which could not be eliminated in the analysis of this retrospective study. Patients with dementia may have been lost because we know that when it is not the principal diagnosis, it is sometimes not recorded/coded.Statement added. Please see page 6, line 8-10. Patients with dementia may have been lost because we know that when it is not the principal diagnosis, it is sometimes not recorded/coded. I understand that a prolonged hospital stay supposes the appearance of new conditions (due to functional and cognitive decline, delirium, malnutrition) that can lead to institutionalization. On the other hand, the difficulty of obtaining a place in a nursing home can lead to a prolonged hospital stay. So, is the increase in hospital stay a cause or a consequence of institutionalization? I recommend the author consider it and give his opinion based on the study's evidence and resultsStatement added. Please see page 9 line 19-24. Furthermore, prolonged hospital stay could potentially be as a result of the appearance of new conditions (due to functional and cognitive decline, delirium, malnutrition) that can lead to institutionalization. On the other hand, the difficulty of obtaining a place in a nursing home can also lead to a prolonged hospital stay. Based on the evidence available, we believe that prolonged hospital stay is due to difficulty in obtaining a place in a nursing home. To date, measures for preventing falls during hospitalizations have not shown efficacy. It is better to adjust the hospital stay to what is strictly necessary and develop care resources that are alternatives to conventional hospitalization (home hospitalization, primary care, physical therapy home. What is the author's opinion? Can you reconsider what is written in this paragraph?Please see page 10, line 9-12. One strategy would be to adjust the hospital stay to what is strictly necessary and to then

develop care resources that are alternatives to conventional hospitalization such as home hospitalization, primary care, and home-based physiotherapy. The presence of pressure ulcers in people with dementia usually indicates advanced dementia, so the increase in hospital stay may be more related to the functional grade This is the accepted version of a manuscript published by Elsevier in Revista Española de Geriatria y Gerontologia, available online at https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.regg.2022.10.004. Copyright © 2022, Elsevier.

of dementia (GDS 7, FAST >7c) than to the ulcers themselves. With this dementia, social activities are not valued, but interaction with family members and usual caregivers is. I recommend the author explain this in the discussion.Please see page 10, line 21-25. The presence of pressure ulcers in people with advanced dementia usually indicates a shorter survival period [32], so the increase in hospital stay may be more related to the functional grade of dementia (GDS 7, FAST >7c) than to the ulcers themselves. With advanced dementia, social activities may not be valued when compared to interaction with family members and usual caregivers I would also consider a strength of the study the assessment of the administrative variables (i.e. if they are referred by their doctor or not, if they were admitted on holidays). It seems to me something interesting and to be considered in the studies.Please see page 11, line 6-8. Another strength of the study is the assessment of the administrative variables (i.e. if they are reformed by their doctor or not, if they were admitted on holidays). I recommend the author reconsider this conclusion based on what I have previously explained about the falls.Statement added. Please see page 11 line 23-25. Other alternatives to conventional hospitalization (home hospitalization, primary care, physiotherapy) need to be considered.
There were no comments from reviewer 3

Dear Editor,

Thank you for giving us another opportunity to submit a revised version of our manuscript. We would like to thank the reviewer for his/her comprehensive comments. We have considered and addressed these various comments and hope that our revised paper will now be suitable for publication.

Many thanks,

A	uthors	
_		_

Comments from reviewer 1	Revisions made to the paper	
The only suggestion I make is about the	Please see page 11, line 13-16. Also, data	
discussion. You mention that delirium, in	regarding the occurrence of delirium was	
patients with dementia, could be an adverse	not readily available in the dataset. In future	
outcome in relation to length of stay, but	studies, other strategies such as prevention	
you don't discuss if you have any data about	of delirium should be investigated to	
the incidence of delirium in this sample.	ascertain whether they play a role in the	
Maybe you could say that there is no	length of stay of patients with dementia, as	
registration of this condition in your dataset	well as prevention of falls or discharge	
and suggest that it can influence length of	planning procedures.	
stay. You could say in the discussion that		
you should study in the future if other		
strategies such as prevention of delirium		
should play a role, as well as prevention of		
falls or discharge planning procedures.		

Comments from reviewer 2 Revisions made to the paper

I would suggest that you put them in this order: infections, malnutrition, functional impairment/falls/pressure ulcers (both related to functional impairment), cognitive impairment and delirium, drug-related problems (adverse drug reactions, medication errors), impact on the management of resources (occupancy of beds) and economic costs. It would include medium-term consequences (functional and cognitive deterioration, institutionalization and death)	I have changed the order as suggested. Please see page 4 line 6-18. A likely consequence of this increased length of stay is the possibility of acquiring nosocomial infections [5] which may require the use of antibiotics and subsequently result in the development of antimicrobial resistance [6]. This situation can further increase patient length of stay. In addition to having extended hospital stays, researchers have reported that people with dementia or cognitive impairment experience adverse outcomes in hospitals [7-10]. Some of the adverse outcomes include malnourishment [8], the occurrence of delirium [7], complications after surgical procedures [9] and the development of pressure sores [10]. Patients who stay in hospital for a longer period of time are also likely to experience functional decline [11]. Other researchers have suggested that longer hospital stays
---	--

	can make patients vulnerable to harmful	
	medication reactions [12]. Extended	
	hospital stays also reduces the availability	
	of beds for those requiring urgent admission	
	[5] at an increased cost to the National	
	Health Service (NHS) [13].	
It has the limitation that it is a retrospective	Please see page 11 line 11-12. Staff	
study, and the data collected is what it is.	documentation of variables such as falls and	
But it seems to me that you get excellent	MUST scores can be prone to errors which	
performance from the data. Congratulations	could not be eliminated in the analysis of	
	this retrospective study.	
Patients with dementia may have been lost	Statement added. Please see page 6, line	
because we know that when it is not the	810. Patients with dementia may have been	
principal diagnosis, it is sometimes not	lost because we know that when it is not the	
recorded/coded.	principal diagnosis, it is sometimes not	
	recorded/coded.	

I understand that a prolonged hospital stay supposes the appearance of new conditions (due to functional and cognitive decline, delirium, malnutrition) that can lead to institutionalization. On the other hand, the difficulty of obtaining a place in a nursing home can lead to a prolonged hospital stay. So, is the increase in hospital stay a cause or a consequence of institutionalization? I recommend the author consider it and give his opinion based on the study's evidence and results	Statement added. Please see page 9 line 1924. Furthermore, prolonged hospital stay could potentially be as a result of the appearance of new conditions (due to functional and cognitive decline, delirium, malnutrition) that can lead to institutionalization. On the other hand, the difficulty of obtaining a place in a nursing home can also lead to a prolonged hospital stay. Based on the evidence available, we believe that prolonged hospital stay is due to difficulty in obtaining a place in a nursing home.
To date, measures for preventing falls during hospitalizations have not shown efficacy. It is better to adjust the hospital stay to what is strictly necessary and develop care resources that are alternatives to conventional hospitalization (home hospitalization, primary care, physical therapy home. What is the author's opinion? Can you reconsider what is written in this paragraph?	Please see page 10, line 9-12. One strategy would be to adjust the hospital stay to what is strictly necessary and to then develop care resources that are alternatives to conventional hospitalization such as home hospitalization, primary care, and homebased physiotherapy.
The presence of pressure ulcers in people with dementia usually indicates advanced dementia, so the increase in hospital stay may be more related to the functional grade of dementia (GDS 7, FAST >7c) than to the ulcers themselves. With this dementia, social activities are not valued, but interaction with family members and usual caregivers is. I recommend the author explain this in the discussion.	Please see page 10, line 21-25. The presence of pressure ulcers in people with advanced dementia usually indicates a shorter survival period [32], so the increase in hospital stay may be more related to the functional grade of dementia (GDS 7, FAST >7c) than to the ulcers themselves. With advanced dementia, social activities may not be valued when compared to interaction with family members and usual caregivers
I would also consider a strength of the study the assessment of the administrative variables (i.e. if they are referred by their doctor or not, if they were admitted on holidays). It seems to me something interesting and to be considered in the studies.	Please see page 11, line 6-8. Another strength of the study is the assessment of the administrative variables (i.e. if they are referred by their doctor or not, if they were admitted on holidays).
I recommend the author reconsider this conclusion based on what I have previously explained about the falls.	Statement added. Please see page 11 line 23- 25. Other alternatives to conventional hospitalization (home hospitalization, primary care, physiotherapy) need to be considered.

There were no comments from reviewer 3

I) Short informative title containing the major key words:

Two factors that can increase the length of hospital stay of patients with dementia.

II) Short running title of less than 50 characters

Length of hospital stay of patients with dementia

III) The full names of the authors

Mary Duah-Owusu White (Bournemouth University), Professor Michael Vassallo (Royal Bournemouth and Christchurch Hospital NHS Foundation Trust), Dr. Fiona Kelly (Queen Margaret University) and Dr. Samuel Nyman (Bournemouth University)

IV) The author's institutional affiliations where the work was conducted, with a footnote for the author's present address if different from where the work was conducted

Bournemouth University

V) The corresponding author details

Mary Duah-Owusu White, Bournemouth University, Ageing and Dementia Research Centre. Email:mduahowusuwhite@bournemouth.ac.uk

VI) Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dave Moore, Anton Parker, Leeanne Dove and Camilla Axtell for facilitating the receipt of the hospital dataset.

VII) The name(s) of any sponsor(s) of the research contained in the paper, along with grant number(s)

The research was funded by Bournemouth University and the Royal Bournemouth and Christchurch NHS hospital Foundation Trust via a match-funded PhD studentship. The findings of the study do not represent the views of the University or the Hospital.

	1	<u>Title</u> : Two factors that can increase the length of hospital stay of patients with dementia. 1
	2	2 <u>Título:</u> Dos factores que pueden aumentar la duración de la estancia hospitalaria de
los		
	3	3 pacientes con demencia.
4		
5	4	Abstract, keywords and key-points
6		
7	5	Abstract
8	9 6	
	<u>Obj</u>	ectives
10		
11	7	Patients with dementia are at greater risk of a long hospital stay and this is associated with
12		
13	•	
14	8	adverse outcomes. The aim of this service evaluation was to identify variables most predictive
10	0	of increased length of hospital stay amongst nationts with domentia
17	9 18	of increased length of nospital stay amongst patients with dementia
19	10	
20	-	
21		
22		Methods/Design
23	11	
24	25	
26	12 W	e conducted a retrospective analysis on a cross-sectional hospital dataset for the period
27		
28	13 Jai	nuary-December 2016. Excluding length of stay less than 24 hours and readmissions, the
2.0		
29		
30		sample comprised of 1,133 patients who had a dementia diagnosis on record.
31		14
32		
33		
34 25	15 26	
22	30 16	Dosults
38	10	Kesuits
55		
56		
57		
58 59		
60		
61		
62		1
63		
64		
65		

```
1
 1
 2
 3 2
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
39 17 The highest incidence rate ratio for length of stay in the dementia sample was: a) discharge to
40
            a care home (IRR: 2.443, 95% CI 1.778- 3.357) b) falls without
     18
41
     harm (IRR: 2.486, 95% CI
42
     43
     19
            2.029-3.045).
44
45
     46
     20
47
48
     49
50 21
           Conclusions
51
52
53 22 Based on this dataset, we conclude that improvements made to falls prevention strategies in
54
       hospitals and discharge planning procedures can help to reduce the length of stay for patients
 23
       with dementia.
 24
           Resumen
```

Objetivos

3	Los pacientes con demencia tienen mayor riesgo de una estancia hospitalaria prolongada y esto		
4 10	se asocia con resultados adversos. El objetivo de esta evaluación del fue identificar las variables		
11 5 12 13	predictivas de una mayor duración de la estancia hospitalaria de los pacientes con demencia.		
14 6 15 16			
17 7 18 19	<u>Métodos/Diseño</u>		
55 56 57 58 59 60			
61 62 63 64	2		

	1	
1 2		
3 4	2	
5		
6 7		
8 9		
20		Realizamos un análisis retrospectivo de un conjunto de datos hospitalarios transversales en el
21 22		8
23 24	9	período enero-diciembre de 2016. Excluyendo la estancia hospitalaria inferior a 24 horas y los
25 26 27	10	reingresos, la muestra estaba compuesta por 1133 pacientes que tenían un diagnóstico de
28 29 30	11	demencia registrado.
31 32 33	12	
34 35 36	13	Resultados
37 38 39	14	Los pacientes con demencia con estancia más prolongada presentaban mayor tasa de incidencia
40 41	15	de: a) alta a una residencia (TIR: 2.443, IC 95% 1.778-3.357) b) caídas sin daño (TIR: 2.486,
42 43 44	16	IC 95% 2.029-3.045)
45 46 47	17	
48 49 50	18	Conclusiones
51 52 53		En base a estos resultados, concluimos que las mejoras realizadas en las estrategias de 19
54 55 56 57 58 59 60	20	prevención de caídas en los hospitales y los procedimientos de planificación del alta pueden
61 62 63 64		3

		1
1		
2		
3	2	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		

21 ayudar a reducir la duración de la mejor estancia de los pacientes con demencia.

22 <u>Keywords</u>

Dementia, Hospital, Length of stay, Falls, Discharge.

Palabras clave

3 Demencia, Hospital, Duración de la estancia, Caídas, Alta.

4 Key point

10	
11	
12	The occurrence of hospital falls and discharges to care homes were associated with increased
13	5
14	
15 6	length of hospital stay for patients with dementia.
16	
17	
18 7	
19	
20	
21 8	
22	
23	
24 9	
25	
20	
28 10	
29	
30	
31 11	
32	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59 60	
61	
62	4
63	т Т
64	
65	

Introduction

Dementia is a condition that affects the brain and subsequently results in memory and cognitive difficulties [1]. In the UK, 885,000 people have dementia [2] and are more likely to be admitted into hospital compared with their peers without dementia [3]. Also, patients with dementia experience longer hospital stays than patients without dementia

	1	
1		
2		
3	2	
4		
5		
6		
/		
9		
13	6 [4]	Δ likely consequence of this increased length of stay is the possibility of acquiring 14
тJ	U[+]	. At likely consequence of this increased length of study is the possibility of acquiring 14
15	57	nosocomial infections [5] which may require the use of antibiotics and subsequently
10		
1/	~	
18	8 <mark>res</mark>	sult in the development of antimicrobial resistance [6]. This situation can further 19
20	9 <mark>inc</mark>	crease patient length of stay. In addition to having extended hospital stays, researchers 21
22	<mark>hav</mark>	re reported that people with dementia or cognitive impairment experience adverse 23 10 24
25	11 <mark>0</mark>	utcomes in hospitals [7-10]. Some of the adverse outcomes include malnourishment 26
27	12	[8] the occurrence of delirium [7] complications after surgical procedures [0] and the
28	12	[6], the occurrence of definition [7], complications after surgical procedures [7] and the
29		
30	13 <mark>d</mark>	evelopment of pressure screes [10] Patients who stay in hospital for a longer period of 31
50	15 <mark>u</mark>	evelopment of pressure soles [10]. I different who stay in hospital for a longer period of 51
32	14	time are also likely to experience functional decline [11]. Other researchers have
33		
34		
35	15 <mark>SI</mark>	uggested that longer hospital stays can make patients vulnerable to harmful medication 36
37	16	reactions [12]. Extended hospital stays also reduces the availability of beds for those
38		
39		
40	17	requiring urgent admission [5] at an increased cost to the National Health Service
41		
42	18	(NHS) [13].
43	10	
44		
45	19	
46		
47		
4.0	20	Therefore it is necessary to investigate the factors that influence length of stars of
48	20	Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the factors that influence length of stay of
49 50		
50	21	notionts with domentic in hospital to identify appropriate strategies needed to improve
51 52	21	patients with dementia in nospital to identify appropriate strategies needed to improve
52	<u></u>	atient outcomes and minimize the time they are in beginted. One way of improving Γ
23	zz p	attent outcomes and minimuse the time they are in hospital. One way of improving 54
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
6U 61		
οT		
62		6
63		
64		
65		



evaluation is to investigate the impact of demographic (e.g. age), administrative (e.g. admission day) and clinical factors (e.g. early warning signal) on the hospital stay of patients with dementia. Most of the variables investigated in this study have been previously reviewed. However, most of the previous studies have been limited by small

10	sample sizes that reduced their statistical power and generalisability (e.g. a study on 11
12 13 14	6 falls and length of stay for 622 patients with a range of medical conditions [15]). We
15 16	7 explored factors not previously investigated with a large sample including the patient's
17 18	8 admission method (i.e. whether the patient attended A&E themselves or were referred
19 20 21	9 by a doctor or transferred from another hospital) and also the various categories of
22 23 24	10 pressure sores.
25 26 27 28	11
29 30 31	12 <u>Materials and Methods</u>
32 33	13This study was a cross-sectional retrospective analysis of a hospital dataset. The dataset
55 56 57 58 59 60 61	
62 63 64 65	8

		1
1	2	
2	2	
4	2	
5 6	5	
7	4	
8 9		
24		5
35	14	contained information on 35,792 patients who were admitted and discharged between 36
37 38 39	15	01/01/2016 and 31/12/2016 at a hospital in the south west of the UK. This hospital had
40 41	16	a Care Quality Commission rating of 'requires improvement' in October/November
42	17	2015 (i.e. prior to the collection of the data). Findings from the report indicated that the 43
44 47	19	hospital needed to improve hospital care for older patients [16]. The integrity of the 45 18 46 dataset (i.e. compliance with NHS regulations) has been discussed in detail elsewhere 48
49 50 51	20	[17]. This process involved the use of trained clinical coders who followed the UK
52 53	21	coding rules for entering aspects of the data which are sent to the Secondary Uses
54	22	Service of the NHS Digital Department. Patient data was excluded if they had been
		readmitted because findings from a recent study indicated that such patients are likely
	24	to have more co-morbidities and receiving a variety of medication [18]. Similarly, people
		who were admitted for a short period (i.e. less than 24 hours) were excluded as they are
		likely to be healthier than their peers who have been on the ward for a longer period of
		time [19]. Our sample comprised of patients with dementia (n=1133). Dementia was
		defined as the existence of a dementia coding applied to a spell. The
10	fol	lowing codes were used for dementia: Alzheimer's disease, Multi-infarct dementia 11
55 56	24	57
58 59 60 61	25	
62 63 64 65		9

-	1			
1	r			
2 3	Z			
4	2			
5	3			
7	4			
8				
9	5			
12	6 or vas	cular dementia, dementia due to other causes such as Picks disease, dementia 13		
14 16	wit	h an unnamed aetiology, Alzheimer's Disease with an onset in older people and 15 7		
17	8 other	8 other types of Alzheimer's disease [1]. Patients with dementia may have been lost 18		
19	9	because we know that when it is not the principal diagnosis, it is sometimes not		
20 21				
21	10	recorded/coded.		
23				
24	11			
25 26				
27	12	Statistical Analysis		
28	10			
29 30	13	Length of stay was defined as the time the patient was admitted until their discharge.		
31				
32	14	The following variables were evaluated for their impact on the patient's length of stay:		
33	15			
35	15			
36		See Table 1		
37		16		
38	17			
39 40	17			
41	18	These variables were selected because they are routinely collected in hospitals. The		
42				
43				
55				
56 57	24 58			
25	00			
59				
60 61				
62		10		
63				
64 65				

		1	
1	_		
2	2		
4			
5	3		
6			
7	4		
8 9			
		5	
44 45	19		information available in the dataset were recorded by nursing and medical staff as well
46	20		as clinical coders at the hospital.
47			
48 79	21		
50	21		
51	22	Descriptive	, bivariate and multivariate analysis were conducted using SPSS 19. The 52
53			following non-parametric tests were used as the dependent variable (length of stay) was
54			23
			skewed and continuous: Mann-Whitney, Spearman and Kruskal Wallis Test [20].
			Negative Bionmial Regression was used to analyse the data because findings from a
			previous study indicated that it was the most appropriate multivariate test for a
			dependent variable that is skewed and continuous [21]. Missing data was handled using
			the exclude pairwise option in SPSS.
10 11			



		1
1		
2	2	
3		
4		
5	3	
6		
7	4	
8		
9		F
1 7	0	5 Ethics approval was obtained from the University (Ethics ID: 22691) as the study was
1 /	ð	Eulies approval was obtained from the University (Eulies ID. 25081) as the study was
ΤO		
19	9	classified as a service evaluation. Before the NHS trust provided the dataset, it was first
20		
21		
22	10	anonymised, encrypted and password-protected. The information was transferred onto
23		
24	11	a password-protected university laptop for analysis.
25		
26		
27	12	
28		
29	1	3
30	3	1
32	14	Results
33		
34	15	Descriptive statistics
35		
36		The length of stay for dementia sample was high at an average of 344.9 hours. Patients 37 16
38		
39	17	with dementia were on average 85.5 years old. Some patients with dementia were 40
4 1	10	admitted from a core home (26.70(). Some notion to with demontic ware discharged to a
4⊥ ∧ ⊃	τx	aumitied from a care nome (50.7%). Some patients with dementia were discharged to a
43		
44	19	care home (40.4%) Patients with dementia experienced falls without harm (9.7%) 45
1 -I		Other resting a still dement in the line of the state of
46	20	Other patients with dementia experienced minor harm during a fall (4%). Some patients
4/		
40	71	with domentic also experienced falls with moderate and major harm (0.40%) . Some 50
49	21	with dementia also experienced rans with moderate and major narm (0.4%). Some 50
55		
56	24	
57	58	
25		
59		
60		
61		
62		12
63		

		1
1		
2	2	
3		
4		
5	3	
6		
7	4	
8		
9		
		5
51	22	2 patients with dementia had pre-admission pressure sores of category 1 (8.4%), 2 (9.1%), 52
53		3 (2.3%) and 4 (0.9%). Some patients with dementia had post-admission pressure sores
54		23
		of category 1 (5.1%), 2 (7.1%), 3 (0.9%) and 4 (0.4%). See Table 2

Bivariate statistics

In the dementia sample, the following variables were all significantly associated with

10 length of stay (age, discharge method, admission from a care home, discharge to a care 11

12	6 home, falls w	vithout harm, falls with minor harm, MUST scores, Category 1 and 3 pre13
14		admission pressure sores, Category 1, 2, 3, 4 and un- stageable post-admission pressure
15		7
16		
17	8	sores).
18		
19	9	
20		
21		
22	10	See Table 3
23		
24	11	
25		
26		
55		
56	24 57	
58	25	
59		
60		
6 I		
62		13
61		
65		
2.5		

		1
1		
2	2	
3		
5	3	
6		
7	4	
8		
9		5
27	12	Multivariate statistics
28 29 30 31	13	For the multivariate analysis only variables that were significant in the bivariate
32 33	14	analysis were entered in the Negative Bionmial Regression Model. In the dementia
34	15	sample, the highest incidence rate ratio for length of stay was: a) discharge to a care 35
36 39	17	home (IRR: 2.443, 95% CI 1.778- 3.357) b) falls without harm (IRR: 2.486, 95% CI 37 16 38 2.029-3.045). Findings from the multivariate analysis indicate that some clinical 40
41 42 43	18	variables (Medium MUST scores, Category 1, 3 pre-admission pressure sores and
44 45	19	Category 3, 4 as well as un-stageable post-admission pressure sores) were not
46 47 48	20	associated with length of stay in the dementia sample.
49 50	21	
51 52 53	22	See Table 4
54	23	

Discussion

55
6 24
57 58
25
59
50
61
52
63
64
65

The aim of this study was to investigate factors associated with the length of hospital stay of patients with dementia. Findings from this study indicated that two modifiable factors associated with an increased length of hospital stay amongst patients with

10 dementia were discharge to care homes and experiencing an inpatient fall. Our analysis 11

12	6 was novel in	including admission method, which was not associated with length of 13
14		hospital stay. In addition, we were able for the first time to demarcate in a large sample
15 16		7
17 18	8	the level of harm sustained by an inpatient fall and also categories of pressure sores.
19 20 21	9	
22 23	10	This study showed that the decision to discharge a patient to a care home was
24 25 26	11	significantly associated with an increased length of stay. Previous literature has shown
27	12 that the dec	ision to discharge an older patient to a care home could be triggered by the 28
29 30 31	13	needs of the patient (e.g. requiring additional nursing care) or the patient being unsafe
32 33	14	in their own home (e.g. possibility of having a fall with an extended wait for a medical
34	15 response) [2	22]. In addition to the decision making processes required for the safe 35
36 55	discharge of	of patients, there is a serious shortage of care home beds due to the high 37 16 38
58 59 60 61	24 3 / 25	
62 63 64 65		15

1		1
1	2	
3		
4 5	3	
6		
8	4	
9		5
39 40	17	demand for community services and residential facilities [23]. Appropriate national
41 42 43	18	strategies are therefore needed to ease the pressures on care homes and community
44 45	19	services. Furthermore, prolonged hospital stay could potentially be as a result of the
46 47	20	appearance of new conditions (due to functional and cognitive decline, delirium,
48		
49	21	malnutrition) that can lead to institutionalization. On the other hand, the difficulty of 50
51	22	obtaining a place in a nursing home can also lead to a prolonged hospital stay. Based 52
53		on the evidence available, we believe that prolonged hospital stay is due to difficulty in
54		23
		obtaining a place in a nursing home. This study indicated that falls with and without harm were significantly associated with
		an increased hospital stay. The findings of this research is consistent with that of
		previous researchers who have found an association between falls and the hospital stay
		of patients with and without dementia [15, 24]. In addition to increasing the length of
10	ho	spital stay, falls can cause physical injuries [25], increase hospital costs [26] and 11
12	6 h	ave adverse psychological consequences [27]. The inadequacy of current fall 13
14		prevention measures for people with dementia is therefore concerning [28]. There is an
55	24	
56 57	24 58	
25		
59 60		
61		16
७∠ 63		10
64		

1		1
1	2	
3	2	
4	2	
6	5	
7	4	
8 9		
		5
15 16		7
10	8	urgent need to develop innovative falls prevention interventions for patients with
18	-	
19 20	9	dementia in the hospital setting. One strategy would be to adjust the hospital stay to
21		
22 23	10	what is strictly necessary and to then develop care resources that are alternatives to
24	11	conventional hospitalization such as home hospitalization, primary care, and home-
25 26		
27	12	based physiotherapy.
28		
29 30	13	
31		
32	14	The authors found that some types of pressure sores were associated with the length of
33	15	stay in the dementia sample. This finding is consistent to previous research which has 25
24	13	found on association between pressure some and begrital length of stay [20]. Also, it 27, 16
36 38		found an association between pressure soles and hospital length of stay [29]. Also, it 37 16
39	17	has been reported that the development of pressure sores could lead to: an increase use 40
41	18	of a hospital's financial resources [30], cause the patient pain, have negative
42		
43 44	19	psychological consequences (e.g. make patient feel sad) and also limit the patient's 45
46	20	ability to engage in social activities [31]. The incidence of post-admission pressure
47		
48		
55	24	
56	24 25	
58 59	25	
60 61		
ют 62		17
63		
64		

		1
1		
2	2	
3		
4		
5	3	
6		
7	4	
8		
9		
		5
49	21	sores needs to be minimised. The presence of pressure ulcers in people with advanced 50
51	22	dementia usually indicates a shorter survival period [32], so the increase in hospital stay 52
53		may be more related to the functional grade of dementia
		(GDS 7, FAST $>7c$) than to
54		23
		the ulcers themselves. With advanced dementia, social activities may not be valued
		when compared to interaction with family members and usual caregivers.

24 57 58

	2 The main strength of this study was its large sample size. Although a previous stu	dy
	3 used a large dementia dataset, the authors did not investigate the impact of the varie	ous
1.0	4 types of pressure sores on the hospital length of stay of patients with demen	tia
11	5 [24]. They also did not look at the relationship between the various categories of falls 12	
13 14 15	6 and length of hospital stay [24]. Another strength of the study is the assessment of t	<mark>he:</mark>
16	7 administrative variables (i.e. if they are referred by their doctor or not, if they were 17	
18 19 20	8 admitted on holidays). It seems to be something interesting and to be considered in t	he
21	9 studies. In the current study, although the authors could not validate the dementia 22	
23	10 diagnosis, the dataset used for this service evaluation complied with NHS quality 24	
25 28	assessments. Staff documentation of variables such as falls and MUST scores can be 26 11 27 prone to errors which could not be eliminated in the analysis of this retrospective study. 29	
30 31 32	13 Also, data regarding the occurrence of delirium was not readily available in the datas	<mark>et.</mark>
33 34	14 In future studies, other strategies such as prevention of delirium should be investigat	ed
35 36 37	15 to ascertain whether they play a role in the length of stay of patients with dementia,	as
38 39	16 well as prevention of falls or discharge planning procedures.	
55 56 57 58 59 60		
62 63 64 65	19	

		1	
1			
2			
3 4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
40	17		
41			
42			
43	18		To conclude, the analysis was conducted in a hospital which had a Care Quality
44			
45	19	Commissio	on rating of 'requires improvement' prior to the collection of data. The 46
47		findings r	nay therefore not be transferable to hospitals which do not have a similar Care 48 20
49		U	
50	21		Quality Commission rating. Based on this dataset, we conclude that some of the factors
51			
52	22		that can be modified in order to reduce the hospital stay of patients with dementia are
53			
54		22	discharge alemaine end des ansentien effette. Other elemeties te servetienet
		23	discharge planning and the prevention of falls. Other alternatives to conventional
		24	hospitalization (home hospitalization, primary care, physiotherapy) need to be
			25 considered.
			2 Implications for clinical practice
		3	Our research indicates that nursing/care staff can reduce the length of hospital stay of
		4	patients with dementia by focusing their efforts on minimising in-patient falls and

10 5 improving the discharge planning process. Hospital/Trust policies need to be improved 11

12 6 by incorporating findings from robust patient focused research into their formation and 13

14	application.		
15			1
17 18			1
19 20			1
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			
61			
62		20	
63			

	1		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
.7			
8			
9 21			1
21			1
24	25		1
26			1
27	28		22
29	30		23
31	32		25
33	34		25
35	36		25
37	38		25
39	40		25
41	42		25
43	44		25
45	46		25
16			
73 T0			
23 47			
4.0	22		
48 79	25		
	24		
50	24		
51			
52	25		
53			
54	26		
	27	References	
		1. World Health Organisation. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related 2	
	Ь	lealth Problems 10th Revision, 2010; Available from:	
	3	http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2010/en	

- 2. Wittenberg, R., et al., *Projections of Older People Living with Dementia and Costs of* 5
 - Dementia Care in the United Kingdom 2019-2040. 2019, CPEC Working Paper 5. London 6 School of Economics and Political Science

	1			
1				
2				
4				
5				
6				
/ 8				
9				
	7		3.	Shepherd, H., et al., Hospitalisation rates and predictors in people with
	dem	nentio	a: a 8	systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Medicine, 2019. 17(1): p. N.PAG-
	N.P/	AG.		
1.0	9	4.	Mö	illers, T., et al., Length of hospital stay and dementia: A systematic review of observational
10 11	10		studies.	. International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry, 2019. 34 (1): p. 8-21.
12	11		5.	Toh, H.J., et al., Factors associated with prolonged length of stay in older patients.
	Singa	pore	13 12	medical journal, 2017. 58 (3): p. 134-138.
14	13		6.	Hyunyoung, B., et al., Analysis of length of hospital stay using electronic health records: A
15	14		statistic	cal and data mining approach. PLoS ONE, 2018. 13 (4): p. e0195901-e0195901.
16				
17	15 7.		Travers	, C., et al., Prospective observational study of dementia in older patients admitted to 18
16	аси	ute h	ospitals.	. Australasian journal on ageing, 2014. 33 (1): p. 55-58.
19	17		8.	Fogg, C., et al., The relationship between cognitive impairment, mortality and discharge
20	18	cnita	charact	teristics in a large cohort of older adults with unscheduled admissions to an acute 21 19
	1103	spitu		spective observational study. Age and Ageing, 2017. 40(5). p. 794-801.
22 24	20 9. 21		Hu, CJ retrosp	l., et al., <i>Postoperative adverse outcomes in surgical patients with dementia: a</i> 23 <i>ective cohort study</i> . World Journal Of Surgery, 2012. 36 (9): p. 2051-2058.
25	22		10.	Bail, K., et al., Potentially preventable complications of urinary tract infections, pressure
	26 2	3	areas, p	oneumonia, and delirium in hospitalised dementia patients: retrospective cohort 27 24
	stu	ıdy. B	MJ ope	n, 2013. 3 (6): p. e002770.
28 29	25	11.	van	NVliet, M., M. Huisman, and D.J.H. Deeg, Decreasing Hospital Length of Stay: Effects on
30	26		Daily Fu	unctioning in Older Adults. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 2017. 65(6): p.
31	27		1214-12	221.
32	28		12.	Morimoto, T., et al., Incidence of Adverse Drug Events and Medication Errors in Japan:
	the 3	3 29		JADE Study. JOURNAL OF GENERAL INTERNAL MEDICINE, 2011. 26(2): p. 148-153. 34 30
	13	•	Annear	, M.J., et al., Counting the cost of dementia-related hospital admissions: A regional
35	31		inv	estigation. Australasian Journal on Ageing, 2016. 35 (3): p. E32-E35.
36				
55				
56				
57				
58				
59 60				
61				
62				22
63				
64				
бЭ				

	1		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5 6			
7			
8			
9			
37	32	14.	Moule, P., et al., Evaluation and its importance for nursing practice. Nursing Standard,
	2017.		
38	33	31 (35)	r n 55
२ ० २ ०	34	15	Dunne T.L. I. Gaboury and M.C. Ashe, Falls in hospital increase length of stay regardless
55	of 10.35	to. dearee	p of harm IOURNAL OF EVALUATION IN CUNICAL PRACTICE 2014 20 (4): p 396-400
41	36 16.	Care C	Luality Commission, The Royal Bournemouth and Christchurch Hospitals NHS 42
43	37	Found	ation Trust Quality Report. 2016.
44	38	17.	Duah-Owusu White, M., Improving the short-term management of patients with
	dementia	45 39	admitted to hospital. 2021, Bournemouth University.
46	40 18.	Glans,	M., et al., Risk factors for hospital readmission in older adults within 30 days of 47 41
dis	charge - a co	mpara	tive retrospective study. BMC Geriatrics, 2020. 20(1): p. 467-467.
48			
49	42 19.	Trenti	no, K.M., et al., Measuring the incidence of hospital-acquired complications and their 50
43	effect o	on lenat	h of stay using CHADx. Med I Aust. 2013. 199 (8); p. 543-7.
- 1		20	Field A. Discovering statistics using CRCC 2005: Sage publications
51	44	20.	Field, A., Discovering statistics using SPSS. 2005: Sage publications.
52	45	21.	Carter, E.W. and H.W. Polls, <i>Predicting length of stay from an electronic patient record</i>
	53 46	system	<i>a primary total knee replacement example.</i> Bivic medical informatics and decision 54
	47	makin	g, 2014. 14 (1): p. 26.
	48	22.	Rhynas, S.J., et al., New care home admission following hospitalisation: How do older
	people, 49	Э	families and professionals make decisions about discharge destination? A case study
	50	na	<i>irrative analysis.</i> International Journal of Older People Nursing, 2018. 13 (3): p. e12192.
	23.	Kable,	A., et al., Health professional perspectives on systems failures in transitional care for 2
	patient	s with d	dementia and their carers: a qualitative descriptive study. BMC Health Services 3
	Researc	ch, 201	5. 15 : p. 567.
	4	24.	Kasteridis, P., et al., "The impact of primary care quality on inpatient length of stay for
	5	people	with dementia: an analysis by discharge destination", CHE Research Paper No. 113, 6
	Centre	for Hea	lth Economics, University of York, York. 2015.
	7	25.	Terroso, M., et al., Physical consequences of falls in the elderly: a literature review from
	1995 8	to 201	0. EUROPEAN REVIEW OF AGING AND PHYSICAL ACTIVITY, 2014. 11(1): p. 51-59.
	9 26.	M	orello. R.T., et al., The extra resource burden of in-hospital falls; a cost of falls study.
10			
55			
56			
57			
58			
59			
60			
νT			
62			23
ю3 с 1			
04 65			

	1	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
11	10	Medical Journal of Australia, 2015. 203 (9): p. 367.e1-367.e8.
12	11	27. Cox, C. and M. Vassallo, <i>Fear of falling assessments in older people with dementia</i> .
	Reviews 1	3 12 In Clinical Gerontology, 2015. 25 (2): p. 98-106.
14	13 28.	Peek, K., et al., <i>Reducing falls among people living with dementia: A systematic review.</i> 15 14
Dei	mentia: The	International Journal of Social Research and Practice, 2020. 19 (5): p. 162116
17	15	1640.
18	16	29. Theisen, S., A. Drabik, and S. Stock, <i>Pressure ulcers in older hospitalised patients and its</i>
19	17	impact on length of stay: a retrospective observational study. JOURNAL OF CLINICAL 20 18
	NURSIN	IG. 2012. 21 (3-4): p. 380-387.
21	19	30. Dealey, C., J. Posnett, and A. Walker, <i>The cost of pressure ulcers in the United Kingdom</i> .
22	20	Journal of Wound Care, 2012. 21 (6): p. 261-264.
23	21 21	Corocki C. at al. Detiont Departed Process Mark Dains A Mixed Matheda Systematic 25, 22
24	21 31.	Gorecki, C., et al., Patient-Reported Pressure Olcer Pain: A Mixed-Methods Systematic 25–22
Rev	<i>view</i> . Journa	of Pain and Symptom Management, 2011. 42 (3): p. 443-459.
26	23	32. Jaul, E., O. Meiron, and J. Menczel, <i>The Effect of Pressure Ulcers on the Survival in</i>
	Patients	
27	24	With Advanced Dementia and Comorbidities. Experimental Aging Research, 2016. 42(4). 28
29	25	
30		
31	26	
32		
33	27	
34		
35	28	
36		
37	29	
38		
39	30	
40		
41	31	
42		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		
61		
62		24
63		
64		

	1	
1		
2		
3		
4		
6		
7		
8		
9		
43	32	
44		
45	33	
46	34	
47	•	
18	25	
49	55	
50	26	
50	50	
51	27	
52 53	57	
54	38	
5-	50	
	39	
	40	
	41	
	2	Table 1: Variables evaluated for their impact on the patient's length of stay

age	gender	admission method	discharge method	admission from a
		(e.g. referral from	(e.g. based on	care home
		a doctor or hospital)	clinical advice or a court (e.g. mental health tribunal))	
discharge	admission day	admission is a	first Early	falls without
home to a care		bank holiday	Warning Signal	injury
			(based on	
			physiological	
			measurements	
			such as	
			blood	
			pressure and	
			respiration)	

falls with minor	falls with	MUST	category 1, 2,3,4	Waterlow scores
harm	moderate and	(Malnutrition	and unstageable	on admission
	major harm	Universal	pre and post-	(this assesses the
		Screening Tool	admission	patient's risk of
		which is based on	pressure	developing
		clinical factors		pressure sores by
		such as the		for example,
		patient's		looking at the
		weight and height) scores on admission		patient's ability to mobilise and eat).

	1	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
47		
48		
49		
50		
5152	3	
53 4		
54		
01		
	5	
	6	
	r	Table 2. Descriptive statistics for inpatients with domentia
	2	rable 2. Descriptive statistics for inpatients with dementia

Variable	n=1,133(%)
Discharge age	Mean=85.5
Gender	
Male	460 (41%)
Female	673(59 %)
Admission methods	
Attendance at	706 (62%)
Emergency unit	700 (0270)
Referral from a medical	400 (25%)
practitioner	400 (33%)
Referral from a	2 (0.20()
consultant	2 (0.2%)
Other (e.g. hospital)	
	25 (2%)
Discharge methods	
Based on clinical advice	984 (87%)
Self-discharge	2 (0.2%)
Discharged by	
Institution (e.g. court)	-
Death	147 (13%)
Admissions from a care	
home	
Yes	416 (37%)
No	717 (63%)
Discharges to a care	/1/ (05/0)
home	
Yes	150 (10 0())
No	458 (40 %)
	6/5 (60%)
Admission day	
Friday	161 (14%)
Monday	155 (13%)
Saturday	166 (15%)
Sunday	192 (17%)
Thursday	170 (15%)
Tuesday	155 (14%)
wednesday	134 (12%)
Admission is a bank	
holiday	
res	28 (2%)
INO	1,105 (98%)

First Early Warning Signal High(>6) Medium(5-6) Low(0-4) Missing	61 (5%) 106 (10%) 808 (71%) 158 (14%)

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8
2	9
3	0
3	1
3	2
े २	ے ۲
ר ג	4
ר ר	5
ר ר	6
ר ג	7
9	'

- 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 47 48 49 **3 4 5**

- 56 57 58 59 60 61
- 63

Falls without harm	
Yes	110 (10%)
No	1.023(90%)
Falls with minor harm	, , ,
Yes	45(4%)
No	1.088(96%)
Falls major and	1,000 (90%)
moderate	
Yes	
No	5 (0.4%)
	1,128 (99.6%)
MUST scores	
High(>1)	261 (23%)
Medium (1)	44 (4%)
Low (0)	816 (72%)
Missing	12 (1%)
Category 1 preadmission	
pressure sores	
Yes	95 (8%)
No	1.038(02%)
Category 2 preadmission	1,038 (9270)
pressure sores	
Yes	
No	103 (9%)
Category 3 preadmission	1,030 (91%)
pressure sores	
Yes	
No	26 (2%)
Category 4 preadmission	1.107 (98%)
pressure sores	, (,
Yes	
No	10 (10/)
Un-stageable	10(1%)
preadmission pressure	1,123 (99%)
sores	
Yes	
No	
	3 (0.3%)
	1,130 (99.7)

	1	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		
51 52 53	6	10 11 12
	7	13 14 15 16
	8	17 18
	9	19 20 21 22 23
54	10	24 25
26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35		-

37

63

/		Category 1	
8		Postadmission pressure	
9		sores	
	11	Yes	50 (50)
		No	58 (5%) 1.075 (05%)
	12	Category 2	1,075 (95%)
	13	Postadmission pressure	
10	10	sores	
10		Yes	
11		No	80 (7%)
12		Category 3	1.053 (93%)
13		Postadmission pressure	, (,
14		sores	
15		Yes	
16		No	
17		Category 4	10 (0.9%)
18		Postadmission pressure	1,123 (99.1%)
19		sores	
20		Yes	
21		No	
22		Un-stageable	
23		Postadmission pressure	
24		sores	5 (0.4%)
25		Yes	1,128 (99.6%)
25		No	
20			
27			4 (0.4%)
28			1,129 (99.6%)
29		Waterlow scores	
30		High (>15)	878 (77%)
31		Medium $(11-15)$	$\frac{676}{170}$
32	2	$I_{\text{OW}}(0-10)$	1/9(10%)
22	-	Missing	00(0%)
55		witoottig	10(1%)
34	3		
35			
20	Λ		

- **4** 37 **5** 39
- **6**

- 56 57 58 59 60 61

- 63
- 65

1	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6 7	
8	
9	
41	
42 7	
43	
44 8	
45	
46 9	
47	
48 10	
49	
50 11	
51	
52 12	
53	
54 13	
1.4	
14	15
16	
2	Table 3: Bivariate statistics for inpatients with dementia (N=1,133)

Variable	U or χ or rho value/p value/Z value
Age	.072/.015
Gender	153,033.5/.745/325
Admission methods	5.250/.154
Discharge methods	11.866/.003
Admissions from a care	111,724.5/.000/-7.047
home	
Discharge to a care home	133,349.5/.000/-3.927
Admission Day	4.856/.562
Admission is a bank	15,275.5/.909/114
holiday	
First Early Warning Signal	.562/.755
Falls without harm	24,117.5/.000/-9.858
Falls with minor harm	9,828.5/.000/-6.812
Falls major and moderate	2,143.0/.354/927
MUST scores	6.045/.049
Category 1 Preadmission	42.454.0/.025/-2.244
pressure sores/	
r	
Category 2 Preadmission pressure sores/	47,821.0/.099/-1.650
Category 3 Preadmission pressure sores	10,376.0/.015/-2.435
Category 4 Preadmission pressure sores	4,523.0/.289/-1.060
Unstageable Preadmission pressure sores	1,214.5/.396/849

Category 1 Postadmission pressure sores	16,831.5/.000/-5.909
Category 2 Postadmission pressure sores	25,481.5/.000/-5.897
Category 3 Postadmission pressure sores	2,598.0/.003/-2.929
Category 4 Postadmission pressure sores	1,252.5/.032/-2.147
Unstageable Postadmission pressure sores	848.5/.031/-2.158
Waterlow scores	.172/.917

	1
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
ю 7	
/ 8	
9	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54 3	
J J	
	4
	5
	c
	6

2Table 4: Negative Binomial Regression for inpatients with dementia with Lengthof 3stay as the dependent variable (N=1,133)

Variable	IRR/ p value	95% Confidence Interval	
		Lower	Upper
Discharge Age	1.000/.992	.992	1.008
Ref: clinical advice			
Death as a Discharge	1.228/.028	1.022	1.476
cause			
Self-discharge	.541/.390	.134	2.193
Admissions from a care	.252/.000	.182	.349
home			
Discharges to a care	2.443/.000	1.778	3.357
home			
Falls without harm	2.486/.000	2.029	3.045
Falls with minor harm	1.750/.000	1.284	2.384
Ref: Low MUST score			
High MUST scores	1.163/.037	1.009	1.340
Medium MUST scores	.945/.721	.694	1.287
Category 1	1.189/.113	.960	1.474
Preadmission pressure			
sores/			
Category 3			
Preadmission pressure sores	.978/.916	.652	1.467

		Lower	Upper
Category 1			
Postadmission pressure	1.787/.000	1.363	2.342
sores/			
Category 2	1.621/.000	1.275	2.060
Postadmission pressure			
sores/	.966/.915	.508	1.837
Category 3			
Postadmission pressure	1 641/270	660	4.028
sores/	1.041/.279	.009	
Category 4			
Postadmission pressure			4.916
sores/	1.814/.242	.669	
Unstageable			
Postadmission pressure			
sores			

40

- 56 57 58 59 60 61

- 63
- 65

Archivos suplementarios (Supplementary files)

Click here to access/download Archivos suplementarios (Supplementary files) Ethics statement-elsevier.pdf