

1                   **Please note: this is the final draft of the accepted article:**

2

3

4

5           **DA Wilson, S Brown, PE Muckelt, MB Warner, S Agyapong-Badu, D Glover,**  
6                                   **AD Murray, RA Hawkes, M Stokes.**

7   **Strength and balance in recreational golfers and non-golfers aged 65-79 years**  
8   **in community settings *Journal of Aging and Physical Activity* 2022; In press**

9                                   **Accepted 16<sup>th</sup> July 2022**

10

11

12

13

14

15           **Please use the following link for the final, fully proofed and peer-reviewed**  
16                                   **journal article online:**

17    <https://journals.humankinetics.com/view/journals/japa/japa-overview.xml>

18

1 **Strength and balance in recreational golfers and non-golfers aged**  
2 **65-79 years in community settings**

3

4 DA Wilson<sup>1,2,3</sup>, S Brown<sup>1,2</sup>, PE Muckelt<sup>1,2</sup>, MB Warner<sup>1,2</sup>, S Agyapong-Badu<sup>1,4</sup>, D Glover<sup>5,6</sup>,  
5 AD Murray<sup>6,7</sup>, RA Hawkes<sup>8,9</sup>, M Stokes<sup>1,2,10</sup>

6

7

8

9

1- School of Health Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

10

11

2- Centre for Sport, Exercise and Osteoarthritis Research Versus Arthritis, University of Southampton, UK

12

3- Faculty of Health and Well Being, University of Winchester, UK

13

14

4- School of Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK.

15

5- Health Education Yorkshire and the Humber, Leeds, UK

16

6- Physical Activity for Health Research Centre, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

17

7- Centre for Sports and Exercise, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

18

8- Medical and Scientific Department, The R&A, St Andrews, UK,

19

9- World Golf Foundation, St Augustine, Florida, USA

20

10- Southampton NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Southampton, UK

21

22

23 Corresponding author:

24 Dr David Wilson

25 Faculty of Health and Wellbeing

26 Department of Health and Care Professions

27 University of Winchester

28 103-104 High Street

29 Winchester

30 SO23 9AH

31

32 Email: [David.wilson@winchester.ac.uk](mailto:David.wilson@winchester.ac.uk)

33 Tel: +44 (0)1962 675229

34

1 **Abstract**

2 Inactive older adults tend to have decreased strength and balance compared to their more  
3 active peers. Playing golf has the potential to improve strength and balance in older adults.  
4 The aim of the study was to compare the strength and balance of recreational golfers with  
5 non-golfers, aged 65-79 years. Grip strength, single leg balance and Y Balance Test (YBT)  
6 were assessed. Golfers (n=57) had significantly (right, p=0.042; left, p=0.047) higher  
7 maximal grip strength, than non-golfers (n=17). Single leg stance times were significantly  
8 longer in golfers (right, p=0.021; left, p=0.001). Normalised YBT reach distances were  
9 significantly greater for golfers than non-golfers for composite, posteromedial and  
10 posterolateral directions on both right and left legs. Playing golf appears to be associated  
11 with better grip and both static and dynamic balance in 65-79 year olds, indicating that a  
12 study of the effects of playing golf is warranted through a larger, fully powered, longitudinal  
13 study.

14

15 **Keywords:** physical activity, ageing, Y Balance Test, handgrip

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

# Introduction

Regular physical activity can decrease premature mortality by 30%, playing a key role in the prevention of non-communicable diseases (I. Lee et al., 2012), and helping prevent and treat over 20 chronic diseases (Chief Medical Officers., 2011). Adults aged over 65 are the least active and have the highest risk of death or serious injury arising from a fall (World Health Organization., 2018). The World Health Organisation (WHO) recommends that those over 65 years undertake periods of moderate or vigorous-intensity activity per week, as well as activities to enhance strength and balance (World Health Organization., 2020). Evidence of the beneficial effects of various activities need to be established. For example, playing golf is a popular activity amongst older people, and is played by 60 million persons worldwide (The R&A, 2018). A scoping review of golf and health (Murray et al., 2017) reported evidence of the physical (cardiovascular, respiratory) and psychosocial (mental health and wellbeing) benefits, and greater life expectancy,(Farahmand, Broman, De Faire, Vågerö, & Ahlbom, 2009) associated with playing golf but found limited evidence in relation to strength and balance. Although there is some evidence that playing golf fulfils WHO recommendations for moderate intensity aerobic activity (Luscombe, Murray, Jenkins, & Archibald, 2017) there is little evidence for benefitting strength and balance (Murray et al., 2017).The present study addressed this knowledge gap by examining the association between strength and balance, and playing a minimum of 18 holes of recreational golf per week in older people.

The importance of maintaining strength and balance in older adults was highlighted by Rubenstein (2006) where deficits in balance, gait instability, and muscle weakness represented the most important intrinsic risk factors for falls. Strength and balance, including dynamic balance, have been reported to decrease with age (Curcio et al., 2019; Howe, Rochester, Neil, Skelton, & Ballinger, 2011; Stel, Smit, Pluijm, & Lips, 2003; Sugiura et al., 2013; Takeshima et al., 2014) and increase the risk of falling for many older adults (World

1 Health Organization., 2018). Possible causes of reduced strength and balance with aging  
2 are complex, however, reduction in physical activity is thought to be an important factor  
3 (Campbell, Borrie, & Spears, 1989; Cwikel & Fried, 1992). Also, evidence from a meta-  
4 analysis suggests that disuse may be responsible for muscle atrophy and weakness, more  
5 than aging (Peterson, Rhea, Sen, & Gordon, 2010). Due to the combination of walking, and  
6 controlled, rapid force movements while maintaining a steady base of support while  
7 performing shots (Sheehan, Bower, & Watsford, 2019), golf has the potential to improve  
8 strength and balance (Tsang & Hui-Chan, 2010). However, the effect on strength and  
9 balance of playing golf for older adults remains unknown.

10           Grip strength is well-established as a reliable measure of overall body strength and  
11 commonly used in the assessment of health in older adults (Bobos, Nazari, Lu, &  
12 MacDermid, 2020; Mehmet, Yang, & Robinson, 2020). Additionally, the European Working  
13 Group on Sarcopenia in Older People (EWGSOP) recommended the use of handgrip  
14 strength as the most practical measure of generalised muscle strength (Cruz-Jentoft et al.,  
15 2010). A decline in handgrip strength has been associated with an increased risk of  
16 morbidity, disability, and mortality (Rantanen et al., 2000). In relation to hand grip strength in  
17 older golfers, female golfers (aged 65-79) Buckley et al. (2017) reported a moderate  
18 correlation ( $r=0.44$ ) with quadriceps peak torque. However, there was no comparison of grip  
19 strength to non-golfers (Buckley et al., 2017). A study of female golfers over 80 years  
20 reported greater grip strength normalised to body weight ( $0.33 \pm 0.06$  kgF/kg) than non-  
21 golfers ( $0.29 \pm 0.06$  kgF/kg), However, the difference was not statistically  
22 significant( $p=0.051$ ) (Stockdale et al., 2017). Further research is warranted to compare grip  
23 strength in older golfers and non-golfers in both sexes.

24           Previous research on balance in older golfers has typically been limited to assessing  
25 static balance, e.g. the single leg stance test. For example, Tsang et al, (2010) reported that  
26 older male golfers demonstrated significantly longer single leg stance times compared to  
27 non-golfers ( $28.1 \pm 3.6$  s, vs  $17.1 \pm 11.9$  s;  $p=0.020$ ) (Tsang & Hui-Chan, 2010). Older  
28 males and females significantly increased ( $F_{(2,17)} = 5.32$ ,  $p = 0.03$ ) their single leg stance

1 time following two weeks of playing 10 holes of golf on an Xbox Kinect each day compared  
2 to a control group (Chow & Mann, 2015). In relation to dynamic balance Gao et al, (2011)  
3 reported older male golfers had significantly longer functional reach distance normalised to  
4 their height ( $28.1 \pm 3.6\%$  vs  $17.1 \pm 11.9\%$ ;  $p = 0.012$ ) than non-golfers. (Gao et al., 2011).  
5 The research above suggests playing golf may be associated with better balance in older  
6 participants. However, there is a need for research on balance in older female golfers and  
7 more comprehensive assessment of dynamic balance.

8 A dynamic balance test that is sensitive to change and more challenging in active  
9 older adults than the single leg stance or functional reach tests is the Y Balance Test (YBT),  
10 which evolved from the Star Excursion Balance Test (SEBT) (Plisky et al., 2009). The SEBT  
11 was developed to standardize the performance of balance testing and improve  
12 measurement repeatability (Plisky et al., 2009). The YBT is a shorter version of the SEBT  
13 and has been reported to be valid and reliable in older participants (Sipe, Ramey, Plisky, &  
14 Taylor, 2019). The YBT has also been shown to be sensitive to detect change following  
15 training in older men ( $65 \pm 14$  years) (Hosseini, 2011). The YBT has been used to quantify  
16 decline in balance with aging, with Lee et al. (2015) reporting significantly longer normalised  
17 composite reach distances for middle aged vs older females ( $105.2\%$  (5.5) vs  $85.0\%$ (6.9);  
18  $p_{adj} < 0.007$ ). Similarly, Freund et al. (2019) reported healthy women in 70–79 years group  
19 had significantly ( $p < 0.001$ ) lower composite reach scores than those in the 50–59 years  
20 group, The sensitivity to change for the YBT was demonstrated by significant improvement  
21 in scores from older males and females following an eight-week step training intervention  
22 (Morat et al., 2019).

23 The research outlined above suggests older adults have decreased strength and  
24 balance, which is associated with lower physical activity, and greater risk of falls (World  
25 Health Organization., 2018). Regarding strength, there is some evidence that playing golf is  
26 associated with greater hand grip strength, a widely used measure of overall strength, in  
27 females over 80 years (Stockdale et al., 2017). However, further research is warranted on  
28 the effect playing golf may have on hand grip strength in older adults aged 65-80, in both

1 sexes. In relation to balance, single leg balance appears to be better in older male golfers  
2 than non-golfers (Tsang & Hui-Chan, 2010) but dynamic balance requires investigation in  
3 both sexes.

4

## 5 **Aim**

6 To compare the grip strength, and static and dynamic balance of recreational golfers who  
7 play at minimum of 18 holes per week with inactive non-golfers aged 65-79 years in  
8 community settings.

9

10

## **Methods**

11 The study design was a cross-sectional, two-group comparison, of golfers and non-golfers to  
12 examine the association between playing golf and specific aspects of physical function i.e.  
13 strength, and static and dynamic balance, to provide statistical data to inform a power  
14 calculation for a larger intervention study.

## 15 **Participants**

16 Sixty-two recreational golfers (31 females) and 17 non-golfers (9 females) aged 65-  
17 79 years were recruited from the local community in Hampshire, United Kingdom. Samples  
18 of convenience were used. Based on the findings of Gao et al. (2011) who reported older  
19 golfers had significantly better balance than healthy controls, a high effect size (1.25) was  
20 calculated. Therefore, it was assumed there would be a moderate to high effect size for the  
21 difference in balance between golfers and non-golfers. Based on Cohen's (1998) rule-of-  
22 thumb, it was deemed that a participant group size of 30 would be sufficient. Local golf clubs  
23 were contacted, and permission was obtained to display recruitment posters at the club with  
24 researcher contact details. Patient and Participant Involvement (PPI) representatives were  
25 recruited at some clubs to assist with communication about the study and recruitment.  
26 Contact was also made with the Senior Captains of the golf clubs, where possible, to seek  
27 assistance with recruitment. Non-golfers were recruited through the local community using

1 posters, presentations, adverts in newspapers and on local radio. Written informed consent  
2 was gained from every participant prior to data collection. Ethics approval was obtained from  
3 University Ethics Committee, (Ethics number: xxxxx).

4 Golfers who played a minimum of 18 holes per week and walked around the course,  
5 rather than using a buggy, were included. Participants could take part in other activities as  
6 excluding them would not have been representative of this group, but their main activity had  
7 to be golf. Age-matched non-golfers were permitted to do no more than one hour of physical  
8 activity per week.

## 9 **Measures**

10 Physical activity of all participants was assessed using the General Practice Physical Activity  
11 Questionnaire (GPPAQ) (Department of Health, 2009). The GPPAQ is a short self-report  
12 questionnaire on physical activity and occupation, and is scored according to four  
13 categories: active, moderately active, moderately inactive or inactive. The GPPAQ was used  
14 as it is rapid and easy to use (designed to take less than one minute to complete), with  
15 participants scoring questions on occupation, cycling, sport and walking (Department of  
16 Health, 2009). The GPPAQ has been reported to be the most frequently used tool to assess  
17 physical activity in primary care with high reliability (Ahmad et al., 2015; Smith et al., 2017).  
18 However, due to the low reliability, walking data are not used to calculate physical activity  
19 (Department of Health, 2009).

20 All participants were screened using the following exclusion criteria: musculoskeletal  
21 injury or surgery in the last five years which led to immobility for more than one week;  
22 uncontrolled diabetes or blood pressure; known neurological disorder; arthritis which  
23 restricted every day activities; undergoing treatment for cancer; taking medication which  
24 affects muscle function, total hip replacement surgery (due to the potential risk of dislocation  
25 with the movements required for testing dynamic balance).

26 Body mass and height were measured using weighing scales (Salter portable scales)  
27 and a tape measure and Body Mass Index (BMI; kg/m<sup>2</sup>) was calculated. The data collection



1 procedure was standardised and conducted in the following order: grip strength, single leg  
2 balance and Y balance test (YBT). All equipment was portable and suitable for use in  
3 community settings.

4           Grip strength was measured using a MIE digital grip analyser (MIE®, Medical  
5 Research, Leeds, UK). The device is accurate (Innes, 1999) and lighter than devices such  
6 as the Jamar, so is suitable to use with participants who may have limited grip strength. The  
7 participant was tested in a standardised position, seated in a straight-backed chair, with feet  
8 flat on the floor, shoulder in neutral, forearm rested on arm of chair with elbow flexed at 90°,  
9 and the forearm and wrist in neutral (Roberts et al., 2011). Up to three practise trials of 50%  
10 force were used to familiarise the participant with the equipment. A minimum of three  
11 successive grip strength measurements were performed or until the participant's maximum  
12 force was reached and the maximal value was used in the analysis. Participants were  
13 verbally encouraged to squeeze as hard as possible. Bilateral measurements were made  
14 with the right side measured first.

15           A protocol similar to Springer et al. (2007) was used for the measurement of static  
16 balance. Participants stood barefoot and were instructed to have their arms folded across  
17 their chest and place the non-weight-bearing foot close to, but not touching the ankle of their  
18 stance limb. The investigator used a stopwatch to measure the time the participant was able  
19 to stand on one leg. Time commenced when the participant raised the non-weightbearing  
20 foot off the floor. Time ended when either the maximum of 60 seconds was reached, or the  
21 participant did one of the following actions to maintain their balance: 1) uncrossed their  
22 arms; 2) used the raised foot (moved it toward or away from the standing limb or touched the  
23 floor); 3) moved the weight-bearing foot (e.g. rotated foot on the ground). The duration of 60  
24 secs was chosen as this had been used for over 60 year olds (McLay et al., 2020). Springer  
25 et al. (2007) reported normative mean (SD) best of three trials nominal values for 32.1s  
26 (16.2) and 21.5s (17.3) for groups of 60-69 and 70-79 years respectively, therefore, 60  
27 seconds was chosen to reduce the chance of a ceiling effect in the lower age group. The

1 procedure was repeated twice on both the right and left leg, with the longest time used for  
2 each leg for data analysis.

3 A YBT kit was used to carry out the testing (Move2 perform, Evansville, IN USA). The  
4 participant stood on the central plastic footplate. Following pilot testing and feedback from  
5 participants, two blocks of rubber matting ([https://www.diy.com/departments/safety-  
6 mat/2006341\\_BQ.prd](https://www.diy.com/departments/safety-mat/2006341_BQ.prd)) were placed either side of the footplate to increase the surface area  
7 for standing on prior to starting and on completing the test (Figure 1).

8 All data collection and practising were performed with bare feet to eliminate any  
9 additional stability from shoes aiding balance (D.-K. Lee et al., 2015). The participants  
10 maintained a single leg stance with hands on the pelvis while pushing a rectangular reach-  
11 indicator block with the contralateral leg as far as possible along one of three directions  
12 (anterior, posteromedial, posterolateral; Figure 1). The reach distance was recorded to the  
13 nearest centimetre from the central footplate to the near side of the reach-indicator block.  
14 The standardised order was: right anterior (Figure 1a), left anterior, right posteromedial  
15 (Figure 1b), left posteromedial, right posterolateral, and left posterolateral similar to the  
16 protocol used by several authors (D.-K. Lee et al., 2015; Plisky et al., 2009; Sipe et al.,  
17 2019). A trial was classified as invalid if the participant did any of the following: did not return  
18 to the starting position; kicked the plate with the reaching foot to gain more distance; failed to  
19 maintain a unilateral stance on the platform; was deemed to have used the reach indicator  
20 for support (transferred weight); or removed their hands from their hips. If an invalid trial  
21 occurred, the data were discarded, and the participant repeated the trial.

22 Each participant was allowed a maximum of three practice trials in each direction and  
23 on each leg prior to formal testing for familiarisation. They then performed three recorded trials  
24 in each direction, starting with the right foot reaching, while standing on the left. Further trials  
25 were permitted if the distance reached was further than the previous trial. The maximum reach  
26 distance trial was used for data analysis like that used by Sipe et al. (2019). The participant  
27 rested for a minimum of 30 seconds between right and left trials and directions of reach.

1 To normalize the reach distance the participants' right and left lower limb length was  
2 measured in centimetres in supine (anterosuperior iliac spine to the centre of the ipsilateral  
3 medial malleolus) (D.-K. Lee et al., 2015). For data analysis the mean of the right and left  
4 lower limb length was used. A normalised composite maximised distance (%MAXD) on the  
5 right and left side, for all three reach directions was calculated by the formula (3 excursion  
6 distance/lower-limb length x 3) x 100 = %MAXD. For comparison for all three reach  
7 directions, the mean of the right and left maximum reach distance in each direction was  
8 normalised to the lower-limb length.

9

## 10 **Data Analysis**

11 Due to the difference in number of participants between the groups of golfers and non-  
12 golfers, a non-parametric statistical analysis was used. Median and interquartile ranges were  
13 used for descriptive statistics. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare between the  
14 two groups. Data were analysed using SPSS version 26. Effect size was calculated using  
15 the formula  $r^2 = \frac{Z^2}{n}$  where Z = Z-score, n = total number of observations on which the Z-  
16 score is based. (Tomczak & Tomczak, 2014). According to Cohen (1992)  $r^2$  0.2 should be  
17 considered a 'small' effect size, 0.5 represents a 'medium' effect size and 0.8 a 'large' effect  
18 size.

19

20

## **Results**

21

22 All measurements were reported by the participants to be tolerated well. There were no  
23 significant differences in any of the participant demographics (sex, age, or body  
24 characteristics) between groups of golfers and non-golfers (Table 1). Golfers reported that  
25 they took part in other activities which included walking, cycling, tennis, exercise classes.

26

1           The data suggest there were no substantial (proportionate) differences between the  
2 physical activity levels for the golfers and non-golfers calculated using the GPPAQ, when  
3 categorised as inactive or active (Table 2). The numbers were too small (particularly for non-  
4 golfers) to classify according to all four physical activity levels (i.e. including moderately  
5 active and moderately inactive). However, such further classification of golfers did not alter  
6 the relative proportions of inactive (n=21; 34%) and active (n=24; 39%), with the moderately  
7 inactive (n=10; 16%) and moderately active (n=7; 11%) also having similar proportions.

8  
9           Golfers had significantly higher maximal grip strength normalised to body weight on  
10 both the right (z= -2.04, p=0.042,  $r^2 = 0.06$ ) and left (z = -1.97, p=0.047,  $r^2 = 0.05$ ) sides  
11 (Table 3). The  $r^2$  scores were below 0.2, indicating a small effect size.

12  
13           Maximum times for single leg stance were significantly longer for golfers than non-  
14 golfers on both the right (z= -2.30, p=0.021,  $r^2 = 0.07$ ) and left (z= -3.19, p=0.001,  $r^2 = 0.13$ )  
15 sides (Table 4). The  $r^2$  scores were below 0.2, indicating a small effect size.

16  
17           A higher percentage of golfers achieved the maximum 60 seconds for the single leg  
18 stance on both the right (32.3% vs 17.6%) and left sides (35.5% vs 0.0%), compared to non-  
19 golfers.

20           The composite normalised reach distances for the YBT for the golfers were  
21 significantly higher than those for non-golfers on both the right (z= -2.80, p = 0.005,  $r^2 =$   
22 0.10) and left (z= -3.14 p = 0.002,  $r^2 = 0.13$ ) sides (Figure 2 and Table 5). Additionally,  
23 posteromedial and posterolateral normalised reach distances from the YBT were all  
24 significantly higher for golfers than non-golfers, on both right and left legs (Table 5). In  
25 analysing each reach direction individually, only anterior reach had no significant difference  
26 between golfers and non-golfers on both the right (p=0.331) and left (p=0.414) (Table 5).

## Discussion

The present study is one of the first to indicate that playing recreational golf is associated with better grip strength, and both static and dynamic balance in 65-79 year olds, compared with non-golfers. However, the small, unequal sample size does not provide conclusive evidence of better results in golfers, so the findings need to be treated with caution, This study also demonstrated the feasibility of using simple and portable equipment to detect differences in grip strength and balance in a community setting.

There are a limited number of studies on golfers' grip strength to compare with the present study. The significantly higher grip strength in golfers than non-golfers (right,  $p=0.042$ , left,  $p=0.047$ ) was similar to the findings of Stockdale et al. (2017) for female golfers over 80 compared to non-golfers. However, direct comparison of data between the two studies is not possible, as Stockdale et al. (2017) used a different dynamometer (JAMAR), which produces different units of strength (kgF/kg) and they only measured the dominant hand in females. Irrespective of these methodological differences, the results of the present study and Stockdale et al. (2017) suggest playing golf may be associated with higher grip strength.

Although grip strength is considered a measure of overall strength, golf involves specific training of hand grip, so strength of the lower limbs should also be studied to determine whether hand grip is reflective of overall strength. Testing quadriceps strength, for example, has limited utility outside the laboratory environment for large muscles (e.g. quadriceps) of stronger participants, so was not considered an appropriate outcome measure in the present study, which used portable tests for use in community settings. Both the balance and grip strength were significantly greater in the golfers compared to non-golfers, indicating they may be related. The association between strength and balance is supported by Lee et al. (2015) who, along with significant differences in YBT scores, also reported significantly greater strength in muscles surrounding the hip, knee and ankle for middle aged compared to older females.

1           The present study reported significantly longer single leg balance duration for golfers  
2 compared to non-golfers (Table 3), which was similar to the older golfers studied by Tsang et  
3 al. (2010) ( $28.1 \pm 3.6$  s, golfers vs  $17.1 \pm 11.9$  s non-golfers;  $p = 0.020$ ). However, Tsang et  
4 al. (2010) only studied the dominant leg in males. Additionally, Tsang et al. (2010) appeared  
5 to limit the maximum time to 30 seconds and took a mean of three trials, whereas the  
6 present study had a maximum of 60 seconds and took the best time from the three trials to  
7 reduce the chance of a ceiling effect, based on normative values in 60-69 year olds reported  
8 by Springer et al. (2007). The present study suggests that golf is associated with better  
9 single leg balance for both the dominant and non-dominant legs.

10           In comparing the single leg balance times from the present study to reported  
11 normative values, it appears golfers may have better balance (Springer et al., 2007). The  
12 study by Springer et al. (2007) reported normative mean (SD) best of three trials of 32.1s  
13 (16.2) and 21.5s (17.3) for groups of 60-69 and 70-79 years respectively. Although direct  
14 comparison of the results from Springer et al. (2007) is limited as the present study involved  
15 a 65-79 age range, the golfers had greater single leg stance times (median, right, 32.0s, left,  
16 37.0s). This comparison to normative values suggests that golfers may have better single  
17 leg balance than sedentary healthy older adults of similar age in the general population.  
18 Furthermore, the importance of balance on golf performance was highlighted by Speariett  
19 and Armstrong (2020), who reported single leg balance negatively correlated ( $r = -0.722$ ,  $p =$   
20  $0.01$ ) with golf handicap in players aged 18-70 years.

21           The YBT assesses dynamic postural control, which was suggested by Howe et al.  
22 (2011) to be essential to evaluate in relation to falls prevention and potentially more  
23 important than static balance. The present finding that the YBT is an appropriate and safe  
24 method to assess balance in older participants is supported by several authors (Freund et  
25 al., 2019; D.-K. Lee et al., 2015; Morat et al., 2019; Sipe et al., 2019). The addition of the  
26 rubber matting around the base of the YBT kit was reported by participants to increase their  
27 confidence and not limit their performance of the test itself, which was designed for use in

1 young sports people. Therefore, the use of the rubber matting is recommended for future  
2 studies for older participants using the YBT.

3           The YBT provided a dynamic measure to assess balance in the present study and,  
4 similar to the static measure (single leg stance), showed golfers had significantly better  
5 balance than non-golfers. These similarities in the results in the present study support the  
6 findings of Sipe et al. (2019) who reported significant correlation between the YBT and  
7 single leg stance times ( $p=0.03$  and  $p=0.05$  for the right and left sides respectively) in males  
8 and females with a mean age of  $66.8 \pm 6.5$  years. In addition to being a safe method to  
9 assess balance in older participants, as discussed above, the results of the present study  
10 and Sipe et al. (2019) suggest the YBT will be a valid tool to assess balance in an elderly  
11 population.

12           The sensitivity of the components of the YBT for detecting differences between  
13 golfers and non-golfers is worth considering. Despite the composite score showing a  
14 significant difference between groups, the anterior reach did not show a significant difference  
15 (right,  $p=0.331$ ; left,  $p=0.414$ ) (Table 5). Although the present study was not fully powered,  
16 the ability of the posterolateral (PL) and posteromedial (PM) to be more sensitive to detect  
17 differences compared to the anterior reach may be real, as this finding was also reported by  
18 Krysak et al. (2019) in school age and young professional golfers. Similarly, McCann et al.  
19 (2017) reported decreased posteromedial and posterolateral reach in high school footballers  
20 compared to college footballers, but no difference in anterior reach. Furthermore, Hertel et  
21 al. (2006) reported the PM reach direction was also able to identify individuals with chronic  
22 ankle stability compared to healthy controls. Although the results of Krysak et al. (2019) and  
23 McCann et al. (2017) were carried out on young adults and school pupils, combined with the  
24 results of the present study they suggest the posterior reach tests from the YBT may be  
25 more sensitive than the anterior reach to detect differences in balance.

26           In considering why the PM and PL reach from the YBT may be more sensitive to  
27 detect changes compared to the anterior reach, Robinson and Gribble (2008) suggested a  
28 possible factor to be the greater hip muscular strength required to reach in the PM and PL

1 directions compared to anterior. This greater strength requirement could be due to the  
2 greater trunk and hip flexion necessary for the PM and PL compared to the anterior reach  
3 (Robinson & Gribble, 2008). The present participants also reported reaching posteriorly, in  
4 particular medially, to be difficult due to being unable to see the non-standing foot to locate  
5 the reach-indicator block. Therefore, the posterior reaching tests could place more demand  
6 on proprioception which may be reduced in those with poorer balance.

7 Lee et al. (2015) reported significant differences between middle-aged females  
8 ( $53.9 \pm 5.0$  years) and older females ( $77.5 \pm 2.7$  years) for all three YBT reach directions and  
9 composite scores. However, due to Lee et al. (2015) reporting a mean of the three trials, it  
10 is not possible to compare the results to the present study directly, which used maximum  
11 reach distance.

12 The better strength and balance indicated in the present golfers compared to the  
13 non-golfers does not appear to be explained by a difference in physical activity levels, other  
14 than playing golf or not, as assessed using the GPPAQ, which does not assess walking.  
15 There were no substantial (proportionate) differences between the golfers and non-golfers'  
16 physical activity levels (Table 2). The self-reported International Physical Activity  
17 Questionnaire (IPAQ) (Craig et al., 2003), includes an assessment of time walking. It is  
18 possible that due to playing golf, the golfers did more walking than the non-golfers and  
19 therefore could be considered more physically active. However, in the present study, it is not  
20 possible to determine if there was a difference in the amount of walking between the golfers  
21 and non-golfers.

22 Several potential participants were excluded from the present study due to having  
23 had a total hip replacement (THR), as the rotational movements required for the posterior  
24 reaching for the YBT were considered a risk. Further work could be undertaken to examine  
25 the risk of THR participants doing the YBT, as examining the effect of golf on balance in  
26 those with THR's would be worthwhile. Further research could be undertaken on the effect of  
27 golf in people with chronic conditions where balance may be compromised such as dementia  
28 and Parkinson's Disease. According to Bliss and Church (2021) in their literature review, the



1 strength, balance and co-ordination demands of playing golf have the potential to reduce  
2 falls in older adults with Parkinson's Disease but further study is needed.

3 In consideration of participation in balance activities in older adults in Scotland, golf  
4 was the most popular activity to improve balance in older men (Strain, Fitzsimons, Kelly, &  
5 Mutrie, 2016). In contrast for older women, golf was less popular than a range of activities  
6 including aerobics, hill walking and bowls (Strain et al., 2016). Similarly, for strength, other  
7 activities such as swimming and hill walking were more popular than golf (Strain et al.,  
8 2016). The findings of Strain et al. (2016) highlight that to improve or maintain strength and  
9 balance in older adults, a range of activities should be considered, and golf may not be the  
10 most popular or appropriate for all.

11

## 12 **Limitations**

13 The limitations of the present study include lower numbers in the non-golfer group  
14 compared to golfers, which required the use of non-parametric statistics and may have  
15 affected the results. Golfers were recruited initially to enable recruitment of age-matched  
16 non-golfers. However, recruitment of non-golfers proved difficult and then Covid-19  
17 restrictions occurred which prevented further recruitment. The present study was  
18 underpowered, so the findings cannot be considered to have shown definitive differences  
19 between the groups. Also, it is not possible to state for certain that the better strength and  
20 balance in the golfers was only due to playing golf, as the golfers also took part in other  
21 activities, such as cycling and tennis.

22 Golfers in the present study played at least 18 holes per week but the number of  
23 times per week was not recorded. Future studies should record how much golf the  
24 participants played.

25 The standardised order of testing that was followed for the Y Balance Test (Plisky et  
26 al., 2009) was not randomised, so did not minimise fatigue effects, i.e. left posterolateral  
27 testing could always have been in the fatigued state.

1 Future research on strength and balance in male and female golfers over 80 years  
2 old is also warranted, as the need for maintaining physical activity to combat sarcopenia  
3 increases with age (Narici & Maffulli, 2010). For example Abe et al. (2016) reported male  
4 golfers over 80 had significantly lower hand grip strength per body mass ( $p < 0.001$ ) than  
5 golfers aged 70-74 years and loss of strength appears particularly prevalent in individuals  
6 over 80 years (Cruz-Jentoft et al., 2010). The present cross-sectional study only examined  
7 the association of physical function with playing golf, so prospective longitudinal intervention  
8 studies in older people who are new to golf are needed to determine the true training effects  
9 of playing golf. The present findings indicating differences between golfers and non-golfers  
10 suggest that the outcome measures used would be suitable for such intervention studies of  
11 the effects of playing golf on strength and balance.

12

### 13 **Conclusions**

14 Playing recreational golf appears to be associated with better grip strength, and both static  
15 and dynamic balance in 65-79 year old golfers ( $n=62$ ), compared with non-golfers ( $n=17$ ),  
16 although this cross-sectional, comparative study cannot determine a cause and effect  
17 relationship. As dynamic balance is more functional than static balance, the Y Balance Test  
18 appears a feasible and sensitive test to detect differences in balance in older people. A  
19 prospective longitudinal study is warranted to investigate a causal relationship between  
20 playing golf and the effects on strength and balance.

21

22

1 **Acknowledgments**

2 The authors thank the participants for their time in taking part in the project. We thank the  
3 golf clubs (GC) that hosted the study, in particular: Cams Hall GC, Corhampton GC; Hayling  
4 Island GC, Rowlands Castle GC, Southwick Park GC. We are very grateful to the following  
5 representatives from golf clubs and the public for their support and enthusiasm in recruiting  
6 participants and/or contributing to writing up the final report: Chris Cheesman, Moira Hoath,  
7 Claire Kane, Sarah Moore, Irene Reed, Joyce Warburton, Susie Wilkinson, Neil Blackey,  
8 Roger Thompson. We thank the community based centres that hosted testing in non-golfing  
9 groups, including: Sainsbury's in Portswood, where the Saints Foundation held classes; Paul  
10 Hedges and Marion Gealer (Saints Foundation) for enabling our access to recruit  
11 participants; and Linda Fair, a public representative who assisted with publicising the project  
12 on Radio Solent. We thank The R&A for funding the project and, and the Centre for Sport,  
13 Exercise and Osteoarthritis Research Versus Arthritis for providing added value projects to  
14 support the study by members of the Centre at the University of Southampton.

15

## References

- 1
- 2 Abe, T., Thiebaud, R., & Loenneke, J. (2016). Forearm muscle quality as a better indicator of physical  
3 performance than handgrip strength in older male ground golf players aged 70 to 89. *Journal*  
4 *of musculoskeletal & neuronal interactions*, 16(4), 296.
- 5 Ahmad, S., Harris, T., Limb, E., Kerry, S., Victor, C., Ekelund, U., . . . Ussher, M. (2015). Evaluation of  
6 reliability and validity of the General Practice Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPPAQ) in 60–  
7 74 year old primary care patients. *J BMC Family Practice*, 16(1), 1-9.
- 8 Bliss, R. R., & Church, F. C. J. S. (2021). Golf as a Physical Activity to Potentially Reduce the Risk of  
9 Falls in Older Adults with Parkinson’s Disease. 9(6), 72.
- 10 Bobos, P., Nazari, G., Lu, Z., & MacDermid, J. C. (2020). Measurement properties of the hand grip  
11 strength assessment: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *Archives of physical medicine*  
12 *and rehabilitation*, 101(3), 553-565.
- 13 Buckley, C., Stokes, M., & Samuel, D. (2017). Muscle strength, functional endurance, and health-  
14 related quality of life in active older female golfers. *Aging clinical and experimental research*,  
15 1-8.
- 16 Campbell, A. J., Borrie, M. J., & Spears, G. F. (1989). Risk factors for falls in a community-based  
17 prospective study of people 70 years and older. *Journal of gerontology*, 44(4), M112-M117.
- 18 Chief Medical Officers. (2011). Department of Health (DOH) Start Active, Stay Active: A report on  
19 physical activity from the four home countries. Retrieved from  
20 [https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/216370/d](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/216370/dh_128210.pdf)  
21 [h\\_128210.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/216370/dh_128210.pdf)
- 22 Chow, D. H., & Mann, S. K. (2015). Effect of cyber-golfing on balance amongst the elderly in Hong  
23 Kong: a pilot randomised trial. *Hong Kong Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 26, 9-13.
- 24 Cohen, J. (1992). *Quantitative methods in psychology: A power primer*. Paper presented at the  
25 Psychological bulletin.
- 26 Cohen, J. (1998). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences (2nd ed.)*. Hillsdale, NJ:  
27 Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- 28 Craig, C. L., Marshall, A. L., Sjöström, M., Bauman, A. E., Booth, M. L., Ainsworth, B. E., . . . Sallis, J. F.  
29 (2003). International physical activity questionnaire: 12-country reliability and validity. *J*  
30 *Medicine Science in Sports*, 35(8), 1381-1395.
- 31 Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Baeyens, J. P., Bauer, J. M., Boirie, Y., Cederholm, T., Landi, F., . . . Schneider, S. M.  
32 (2010). Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis Report of the European  
33 Working Group on Sarcopenia in Older People. *Age and ageing*, 39(4), 412-423.
- 34 Curcio, F., Liguori, I., Cellulare, M., Sasso, G., Della-Morte, D., Gargiulo, G., . . . Abete, P. (2019).  
35 Physical activity scale for the elderly (PASE) score is related to sarcopenia in  
36 noninstitutionalized older adults. *Journal of geriatric physical therapy*, 42(3), 130-135.
- 37 Cwikel, J., & Fried, A. (1992). The social epidemiology of falls among community-dwelling elderly:  
38 guidelines for prevention. *Disability and rehabilitation*, 14(3), 113-121.
- 39 Department of Health. (2009). *The General Practice Physical Activity Questionnaire: A Screening tool*  
40 *to assess adult physical activity levels, within primary care*. Retrieved from  
41 [\[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/192453/](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/192453/GPPAQ_-_guidance.pdf)  
42 [GPPAQ - guidance.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/192453/GPPAQ_-_guidance.pdf)
- 43 Farahmand, B., Broman, G., De Faire, U., Vågerö, D., & Ahlbom, A. (2009). Golf: a game of life and  
44 death—reduced mortality in Swedish golf players. *J Scandinavian journal of medicine science*  
45 *in sports*, 19(3), 419-424.
- 46 Freund, J. E., Stetts, D. M., Oostindie, A., Shepherd, J., & Vallabhajosula, S. (2019). Lower Quarter Y-  
47 Balance Test in healthy women 50–79 years old. *Journal of women & aging*, 31(6), 475-491.
- 48 Gao, K. L., Hui-Chan, C. W., & Tsang, W. W. (2011). Golfers have better balance control and  
49 confidence than healthy controls. *Eur J Appl Physiol*, 111(11), 2805-2812.  
50 doi:10.1007/s00421-011-1910-7

- 1 Hertel, J., Braham, R. A., Hale, S. A., & Olmsted-Kramer, L. C. (2006). Simplifying the star excursion  
2 balance test: analyses of subjects with and without chronic ankle instability. *Journal of*  
3 *orthopaedic & sports physical therapy*, 36(3), 131-137.
- 4 Hosseini, S. (2011). The effect of aquatic and mental trainings on balance in elderly males. *Middle-*  
5 *East Journal of Scientific Research*, 7(3), 296-302.
- 6 Howe, T. E., Rochester, L., Neil, F., Skelton, D. A., & Ballinger, C. (2011). Exercise for improving  
7 balance in older people. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*(11).
- 8 Innes, E. (1999). Handgrip strength testing: a review of the literature. *Australian Occupational*  
9 *Therapy Journal*, 46(3), 120-140.
- 10 Krysak, S., Harnish, C. R., Plisky, P. J., Knab, A. M., & Bullock, G. S. (2019). Fundamental movement  
11 and dynamic balance disparities among varying skill levels in golfers. *International journal of*  
12 *sports physical therapy*, 14(4), 537.
- 13 Lee, D.-K., Kang, M.-H., Lee, T.-S., & Oh, J.-S. (2015). Relationships among the Y balance test, Berg  
14 Balance Scale, and lower limb strength in middle-aged and older females. *Brazilian journal of*  
15 *physical therapy*, 19(3), 227-234.
- 16 Lee, I., Shiroma, E. J., Lobelo, F., Puska, P., Blair, S. N., & Katzmarzyk, P. T. (2012). Effect of physical  
17 inactivity on major non-communicable diseases worldwide: an analysis of burden of disease  
18 and life expectancy. *The lancet*, 380(9838), 219-229.
- 19 Luscombe, J., Murray, A. D., Jenkins, E., & Archibald, D. (2017). A rapid review to identify physical  
20 activity accrued while playing golf. *BMJ open*, 7(11), e018993.
- 21 McCann, R. S., Kosik, K. B., Terada, M., Beard, M. Q., Buskirk, G. E., & Gribble, P. A. (2017).  
22 Associations between functional and isolated performance measures in college women's  
23 soccer players. *Journal of sport rehabilitation*, 26(5), 376-385.
- 24 McLay, R., Kirkwood, R. N., Kuspinar, A., Richardson, J., Wald, J., Raghavan, N., . . . Beauchamp, M. K.  
25 (2020). Validity of balance and mobility screening tests for assessing fall risk in COPD.  
26 *Chronic respiratory disease*, 17, 1479973120922538.
- 27 Mehmet, H., Yang, A. W., & Robinson, S. R. (2020). Measurement of hand grip strength in the  
28 elderly: A scoping review with recommendations. *Journal of Bodywork and Movement*  
29 *Therapies*, 24(1), 235-243.
- 30 Morat, M., Bakker, J., Hammes, V., Morat, T., Giannouli, E., Zijlstra, W., & Donath, L. (2019). Effects  
31 of stepping exergames under stable versus unstable conditions on balance and strength in  
32 healthy community-dwelling older adults: A three-armed randomized controlled trial.  
33 *Experimental gerontology*, 127, 110719.
- 34 Murray, A. D., Daines, L., Archibald, D., Hawkes, R. A., Schiphorst, C., Kelly, P., . . . Mutrie, N. (2017).  
35 The relationships between golf and health: a scoping review. *Br J Sports Med*, 51(1), 12-19.  
36 doi:10.1136/bjsports-2016-096625
- 37 Narici, M. V., & Maffulli, N. (2010). Sarcopenia: characteristics, mechanisms and functional  
38 significance. *J British Medical Bulletin*, 95(1), 139-159.
- 39 Peterson, M. D., Rhea, M. R., Sen, A., & Gordon, P. M. (2010). Resistance exercise for muscular  
40 strength in older adults: a meta-analysis. *Ageing research reviews*, 9(3), 226-237.
- 41 Plisky, P. J., Gorman, P. P., Butler, R. J., Kiesel, K. B., Underwood, F. B., & Elkins, B. (2009). The  
42 reliability of an instrumented device for measuring components of the star excursion  
43 balance test. *North American journal of sports physical therapy: NAJSPT*, 4(2), 92.
- 44 Rantanen, T., Harris, T., Leveille, S. G., Visser, M., Foley, D., Masaki, K., & Guralnik, J. M. (2000).  
45 Muscle strength and body mass index as long-term predictors of mortality in initially healthy  
46 men. *The Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences*, 55(3),  
47 M168-M173.
- 48 Roberts, H. C., Denison, H. J., Martin, H. J., Patel, H. P., Syddall, H., Cooper, C., & Sayer, A. A. (2011).  
49 A review of the measurement of grip strength in clinical and epidemiological studies:  
50 towards a standardised approach. *Age and ageing*, 40(4), 423-429.

- 1 Robinson, R., & Gribble, P. (2008). Kinematic predictors of performance on the Star Excursion  
2 Balance Test. *Journal of sport rehabilitation*, 17(4), 347-357.
- 3 Rubenstein, L. Z. (2006). Falls in older people: epidemiology, risk factors and strategies for  
4 prevention. *Age and ageing*, 35(suppl\_2), ii37-ii41.
- 5 Sheehan, W. B., Bower, R. G., & Watsford, M. L. (2019). Physical Determinants of Golf Swing  
6 Performance: A Review. *Journal of strength and conditioning research*.
- 7 Sipe, C. L., Ramey, K. D., Plisky, P. P., & Taylor, J. D. (2019). Y-balance test: a valid and reliable  
8 assessment in older adults. *Journal of aging and physical activity*, 27(5), 663-669.
- 9 Smith, T. O., McKenna, M. C., Salter, C., Hardeman, W., Richardson, K., Hillsdon, M., . . . Jones, A. P.  
10 (2017). A systematic review of the physical activity assessment tools used in primary care. *J*  
11 *Family Practice*, 34(4), 384-391.
- 12 Speariett, S., & Armstrong, R. (2020). The Relationship Between the Golf-Specific Movement Screen  
13 and Golf Performance. *J Sport Rehabil*, 29(4), 425-435. doi:10.1123/jsr.2018-0441
- 14 Springer, B. A., Marin, R., Cyhan, T., Roberts, H., & Gill, N. W. (2007). Normative values for the  
15 unipedal stance test with eyes open and closed. *Journal of geriatric physical therapy*, 30(1),  
16 8-15.
- 17 Stel, V. S., Smit, J. H., Pluijm, S. M., & Lips, P. (2003). Balance and mobility performance as treatable  
18 risk factors for recurrent falling in older persons. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 56(7), 659-  
19 668.
- 20 Stockdale, A., Webb, N., Wootton, J., Drennan, J., Brown, S., & Stokes, M. (2017). Muscle strength  
21 and functional ability in recreational female golfers and less active non-golfers over the age  
22 of 80 years. *Geriatrics*, 2(1), 12.
- 23 Strain, T., Fitzsimons, C., Kelly, P., & Mutrie, N. (2016). The forgotten guidelines: cross-sectional  
24 analysis of participation in muscle strengthening and balance & co-ordination activities by  
25 adults and older adults in Scotland. *J BMC Public Health*, 16(1), 1-12.
- 26 Sugiura, Y., Tanimoto, Y., Watanabe, M., Tsuda, Y., Kimura, M., Kusabiraki, T., & Kono, K. (2013).  
27 Handgrip strength as a predictor of higher-level competence decline among community-  
28 dwelling Japanese elderly in an urban area during a 4-year follow-up. *Archives of*  
29 *gerontology and geriatrics*, 57(3), 319-324.
- 30 Takeshima, N., Islam, M. M., Rogers, M. E., Koizumi, D., Tomiyama, N., Narita, M., & Rogers, N. L.  
31 (2014). Pattern of age-associated decline of static and dynamic balance in community-  
32 dwelling older women. *Geriatrics & gerontology international*, 14(3), 556-560.
- 33 The R&A. (2018). *The Truth About Golf*. Retrieved from St Andrews. The R&A:
- 34 Tomczak, M., & Tomczak, E. (2014). The need to report effect size estimates revisited. An overview  
35 of some recommended measures of effect size. *J Trends in Sport Sciences*, 1(21), 19-25.
- 36 Tsang, W., & Hui-Chan, C. (2010). Static and dynamic balance control in older golfers. *Journal of*  
37 *aging physical activity*, 18(1), 1-13.
- 38 World Health Organization. (2018). Falls. Retrieved from [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/falls)  
39 [sheets/detail/falls](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/falls)
- 40 World Health Organization. (2020). Physical Activity. Retrieved from [https://www.who.int/news-](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity)  
41 [room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/physical-activity)
- 42
- 43
- 44
- 45
- 46

**Table 1: Participant characteristics of the two study groups (median, IQR)**

	<b>Golfers (n=62)</b>	<b>Non-Golfers (n=17)</b>
<b>Male</b>	31	8
<b>Female</b>	31	9
<b>Age (years)</b>	71.0 (5.0)	71.0 (8.0)
<b>Weight (kg)</b>	74.0 (25.0)	73.8 (21.4)
<b>Height (m)</b>	165.0 (16.0)	167.0 (15.0)
<b>BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>	26.4 (6.3)	26.4 (4.2)

No significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) for all participant characteristics between golfers and non-golfers

1

2

**Table 2: General Practice Physical Activity Questionnaire (GPPAQ) scores for all participants presented for each sub-group.**

	<b>Inactive</b>	<b>Active</b>
<b>Golfers (n=62)</b>	31 (50%)	31 (50%)
<b>Non-golfers (n=17)</b>	11 (65%)	6 (35%)

3

4

5

**Table 3. Grip strength normalised to body weight in golfers and non-golfers aged 65-79 years (median, IQR)**

		<b>Golfers (n=57*)</b>	<b>Non- Golfers (n=17)</b>	<b>p value</b>
Normalised grip strength (N/kg)	Right	4.33 (1.19)	3.32 (1.93)	$p = 0.042^*$
	Left	4.05 (1.33)	3.04 (1.88)	$p = 0.047^*$

\*due to a fault with the hand grip dynamometer, data from five of the 62 golfers was not recorded.

6

7

**Table 4. Single leg stance times in golfers compared to non-golfers (median, IQR)**

	<b>Golfer (n=62)</b>	<b>Non- Golfer (n=17)</b>	<b>p value</b>
Right	32.0 (42.3)	11.0 (33.5)	$p = 0.021^*$
Left	37.0 (38.3)	21.0 (24.5)	$p = 0.001^*$

8

1

**Table 5. Y Balance Test distance as a percentage of leg length for all directions in golfers compared to non-golfers (median, IQR)**

		<b>Golfer (n=62)</b>	<b>Non- Golfer (n=16*)</b>	<b>p value</b>
Composite	Right	81.7 (13.3)	74.4 (14.9)	p = 0.005*
	Left	82.9 (9.6)	75.4 (14.3)	p = 0.002*
Anterior	Right	59.3 (10.8)	58.6 (13.0)	p =0.532
	Left	61.3 (8.6)	61.4 (10.5)	p =0.643
Posteromedial	Right	89.1 (16.9)	74.6 (17.3)	p <0.0001*
	Left	89.4 (14.2)	77.3 (13.5)	p <0.0001*
Posterolateral	Right	96.7 (14.1)	87.5 (9.4)	p = 0.01*
	Left	98.9 (14.0)	86.9 (19.1)	p <0.0001*

Left, right, denotes the standing leg

\* 1 female non-golfer unable to carry out YBT so removed from analysis.

2

3





Figure 1a

1



Figure 1b

2

3


4

5

6

7

Figure 1: Participant performing a) right anterior reach test (standing on right leg, moving left); and b) right posteromedial reach on the Y balance system (note the blocks of black rubber matting either side of the central foot plate, to increase surface area for standing before and after the test).



1

2 Figure 2: Composite scores for the Y Balance Test (YBT): distance as a percentage of leg  
 3 length for golfers compared to non-golfers (median, IQR)

4

5

# Strength and Balance in Recreational Golfers Compared with Non-golfers aged 65-79 years.



## TAKE HOME

- Playing golf is associated with better hand grip strength, and both static and dynamic balance in 65-79 year olds.
- Further studies on younger adults, over 80 year olds and intervention studies are warranted.

## BACKGROUND

- Older adults have decreased strength and balance, which increases the risk of falls.
- Playing golf has the potential to improve strength and balance in older adults.
- The aim of the study was to compare the strength and balance, both static and dynamic, of recreational golfers with non-golfers aged 65-79 in community settings.

## THE PROCESS

### 1. RECRUITMENT



**CAN YOU HELP?**

- Awareness of the study was achieved using posters, adverts and local media within local golf clubs and community setting
- Golfers aged 65-79 who played a minimum of 18 holes per week and walked around the course were recruited to the study.
- Age-matched non-golfers who engaged in no more than one hour of physical activity per week were recruited.



### 2. METHODOLOGY

- All potential participants underwent musculoskeletal and medical screening against exclusion criteria.
- A standardised data collection procedure was conducted to assess
  - **HAND GRIP STRENGTH**
  - **DYNAMIC BALANCE - Y-BALANCE TEST (YBT)**
  - **STATIC BALANCE - SINGLE LEG STANCE (SLS)**

## RESULTS

### Participant Demographics



- 62 golfers (31 females) & 17 non golfers (9 females) were recruited to the study.
- There were no significant differences in participant demographics between groups.



**WHEN COMPARED TO NON-GOLFERS**

**GOLFERS HAD SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER MAXIMAL GRIP STRENGTH ON BOTH RIGHT AND LEFT**

**YBT WERE SIGNIFICANTLY HIGHER FOR GOLFERS ON BOTH RIGHT AND LEFT**

**MAXIMUM TIMES FOR SLS WERE SIGNIFICANTLY LONGER FOR GOLFERS ON BOTH RIGHT AND LEFT**

UNIVERSITY OF  
**Southampton**



INSERT REFERENCE HERE

Infographic by: Dr Danny Glover 2021